

Charge Statement for Peer Review of the NOAA Technical Memorandum NOS NCCOS

The purpose of this peer review is to help ensure the quality, credibility, and completeness of our synthesis and assessment of scientific information supporting this publication.

General Directives:

1. Please provide comments on the methodology, assumptions, or other factors described within the draft reports to inform siting of aquaculture. Are the scientific methods sound, the assumptions reasonable, and analyses logical? If you find that justification is lacking or specific information was applied incorrectly in reaching conclusions, please specify in your comments.
2. Please consider the accuracy, quality, appropriateness, and application of data considered in the spatial analyses. If any additional relevant data or information exists that was not considered and should have been, please specify in your comments.
3. In general, does the draft report include and cite the best scientific and commercial information available? Are assumptions and uncertainties addressed fairly and clearly, where appropriate? If not, please explain.
4. To the extent possible, you are asked to limit your review to the topics and questions listed above regarding the use and interpretation of the best available data, rather than address any legal or policy matters.
5. Please provide your comments no later than **DATE (30 day window from distribution to reviewers)**.