## U.S. Department of Commerce National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

# Management Directive 715 Equal Employment Opportunity Program Status Report

## **FY 2018**



#### NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION MANAGEMENT DIRECTIVE - 715 For Period Covering October 1, 2017 to September 30, 2018

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

#### **INTRODUCTION**

On October 1, 2003, Management Directive 715 (MD-715) became effective. Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, and Section 501 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended, require federal agencies to take proactive steps to ensure equal employment opportunity for all employees and applicants for employment. This means that agencies must work to proactively prevent potential discrimination before it occurs and establish systems to monitor compliance with Title VII.

#### MISSION AND VISION-RELATED FUNCTIONS

NOAA's mission is to understand and predict changes in climate, weather, oceans, and coasts; to share that knowledge and information with others; and to conserve and manage coastal and marine ecosystems and resources. Our vision of the future incorporates healthy ecosystems, communities, and economies that are resilient in the face of change.

NOAA, one of several operating units within the U.S. Department of Commerce (DOC), provides a variety of services to the Nation. These services are provided by NOAA's National Weather Service (NWS); National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS); National Ocean Service (NOS); National Environmental Satellite, Data and Information Service (NESDIS); Office of Oceanic and Atmospheric Research (OAR); and the Office of Marine and Aviation Operations (OMAO).

NOAA's most populous occupations include the following job series: Meteorologist (1340), Information Technology Management (2210), Fishery Biologist (0482), General Physical Science (1301), and Management Program Analyst (0343).

#### ESSENTIAL ELEMENT A - F

#### A. Demonstrated Commitment from Agency Leadership

#### Strengths:

- Employees and managers were recognized for demonstrating superior accomplishments in EEO and Diversity through NOAA Administrator's Award and the newly established CRO Challenge Coin.
- The annual EEO policy statement was updated to include penalties for discriminatory behaviors/actions and disseminated to all employees.

- The NMFS Assistant Administrator provided a reminder to all employees, via email, that Sexual Harassment/Sexual Assault has no place in NOAA and that such actions would not be tolerated or ignored. All employees were also reminded of the NOAA Sexual assault/Sexual Harassment Helpline (NOAASASHHelpline.org).
- The NMFS Assistant Administrator reinforced Rear Admiral Gallaudet's message regarding the newly issued NOAA Administrative Order 202-1106 NOAA Sexual Assault and Sexual Harassment Prevention and Response Policy. The policy was also added to the NMFS Intranet Employee Resource Page.
- NOS developed and trained their Leadership Team on the Core Policies Manual for new and existing supervisors, with a section devoted to EEO.
- The NWS Director issued Anti-harassment, EEO, Diversity, and Alternate Dispute Resolution policy statements.
- The NWS Director issued a memo requiring all employees to take Prevention of Sexual Harassment training. New employees and supervisors must also take EEO Compliance training.
- Written material concerning the EEO program, laws, and policy statements were disseminated to NWS facilities/offices.
- OAR EEO and Anti-Harassment Policies were updated and distributed to all employees, posted on bulletin boards, the OAR EEO Office website and OAR Hub.
- Several OAR employees were recognized for serving in leadership roles to promote EEO and Diversity:

#### **Deficiencies:**

• The business contact information for the NOAA EEO Director, EEO Counselors and Special Emphasis Program Managers is not posted throughout the workplace and on the Agency website.

#### B. Integration of EEO into the Agency's Strategic Mission

#### Strengths:

- The CRO Director regularly participates in senior-level staff meetings concerning personnel, budget, technology, and other workforce issues.
- The CRO Director regularly provides effective EEO and Diversity guidance and coordination to the Line and Staff Offices.

- NESDIS' EEO & Diversity Manager added Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) training to the Diversity Lunchtime Training Series and Take Five training resources list, to reduce unresolved workplace conflicts and enable resolution on issues.
- The Office of the Assistant Administrator for NMFS approved and hired an EEO Specialist.
- Resources have been allocated and approved by the NMFS DAA to ensure a fully functional EEO/Diversity program. These additional resources ensure the ability to address outreach requirements, training and education programs, and provide support for NOAA level Special Emphasis Programs, etc.
- The NMFS Program Office for EEO and Diversity presented a 1-hour educational briefing on the ABC's of Disabled Veteran Hiring, for managers and supervisors in both headquarters and field.
- The NMFS Program Office for EEO and Diversity presented a 3-hour Disability Awareness and Etiquette session, covering the correct ways in which supervisors and managers should interact with applicants and employees with disabilities.
- NMFS provided a 3-hour brown bag session on "Reasonable Accommodation/Telework as a Reasonable Accommodation for Supervisors and Managers to address routine questions.
- NMFS provided EEO training for several of its facilities, including Headquarters, the Northwest Fisheries Science Center, the Pacific Island Fisheries Science Center and Region, the Southeast Region, and the Office of Law Enforcement.
- NMFS provided several workshops to provide managers and supervisors with information on the full EEO Complaint process and the impact that retaliation can have on the overall outcome.

#### **Deficiencies:**

• The Agency's organizational chart does not clearly define the reporting structure for CRO.

#### C. Management and Program Accountability

#### Strengths:

• CRO conducted a Compliance Assessment for the Office of Marine and Aviation Operations.

- NESDIS conducted three (3) staff assistant visits to audit and assess the effectiveness and efficiency of the EEO programs, appropriate EEO, ADR & Diversity training, and to identify and remove barriers to equality of opportunity in the workplace for managers and employees.
- NWS conducted 15 technical assist visits.
- The CRO Director participates on the Disciplinary Review Panel for EEO-related issues.
- Line and Staff Offices collaborated on several outreach initiatives, including: the American Indian Science & Engineering Society (AISES) National Conference; the Society for Advancement of Chicanos/Hispanics and Native Americans in Science (SACNAS) National Conference; and the National Association of Black Geoscientists.
- NMFS and WFMO partnered at the 148<sup>th</sup> Annual American Fisheries Society National Conference. The workshop entitled, <u>Ready, Set, Hire</u>, provided, an introduction to NOAA mission and employment opportunities, the NOAA federal hiring process, and outreach to students and potential future employees for NOAA.

#### **Deficiencies:**

- The Agency does not regularly assess its component and field offices for possible EEO program deficiencies, and their efforts to remove barriers from the workplace, and to comply with recommendations.
- All reasonable accommodation requests are not processed within the timeframe set forth in the reasonable accommodation procedures.
- The EEO Office does not have timely access to accurate and complete external and internal applicant flow data to prepare all MD-715 workforce data tables and complete the barrier analysis process.
- Anti-harassment training materials do not include examples of disability-based harassment.

#### D. Proactive Prevention of Unlawful Discrimination

#### Strengths:

- CRO created a tracking tool to monitor planned activities developed for the removal of identified barriers and deficiencies.
- WFMO held <u>Mandatory Veterans Employment and Disability Road Map</u> training.

• WFMO collaborated with Line Offices to present a Diversity Hiring Event designed to fill positions using special hiring authorities that target PWD/PWTD, students and veterans.

#### **Deficiencies:**

- Exit interviews or surveys that include questions on how to improve the recruitment, hiring, inclusion, retention and advancement of individuals with disabilities are not conducted.
- The Agency does not consider whether any group of employees or applicants might be negatively impacted prior to making human resources decisions, such as re-organizations and realignments.

#### E. Efficiency

#### Strengths:

- NOAA uses iComplaints to track and monitor the status of complaints, analyze trends, and provide regular updates to appropriate personnel.
- The Agency now requires all managers and supervisors to participate in ADR once it has been elected by employees.

#### **Deficiencies:**

- The Agency does not analyze recruitment efforts to identify potential barriers.
- CRO does not provide 100% timely EEO counseling within 30 days of the initial request or within an agreed upon extension in writing, up to 60 days, to all participants.
- There are no measures to ensure that responsible management officials involved in a complaint do not serve as the person with settlement authority during ADR, per EEOC Management Directive 110, Chapter 3.III.A.
- The Agency does not require managers/supervisors to receive ADR training.
- The agency does not compare its performance in the EEO process to other federal agencies of similar size.

#### F. Responsiveness and Legal Compliance

#### Strengths:

- NOAA complied with federal EEO statutes and regulations, policy guidance, and other applicable written instructions with respect to responsiveness and legal compliance.
- Monetary agreements were timely processed, and documentation for compliance was promptly provided and reviewed by CRO.

#### **Deficiencies:**

• There were no deficiencies identified in this element.

#### TRIGGERS INDICATING POSSIBLE BARRIERS AND EEOC TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE VISIT ASSESSMENT

\*Based on an analysis of NOAA's workforce data tables A and B and the past EEOC Technical Assistance Review, NOAA updated and continued five (5) Part I Plans and five (5) Part J Plans, to address the recruitment, hiring, advancement, and retention of Persons with Disabilities (PWD) and Persons with Targeted Disabilities (PWTD).

The Part I Plans address the following conditions: 1) the low participation rates of women at the GS-13 level (or equivalent) and above; 2) the low participation rates of women in the overall workforce; 3) a possible glass ceiling, blocked pipeline, and glass wall barrier for African Americans; 4) a possible glass ceiling, blocked pipeline, and glass wall barrier for Asian females; and 5) the low participation rates of Hispanics.

The Part J Plans address: 1) the absence of PWD/PWTD as participants in the Leadership Competencies Development Program; 2) the low rate of Cash Awards at the \$501+ level for PWD; 3) the low number of Time-off Awards (9 + hours), Cash Awards at the \$100-\$500 level, and Cash Awards at the \$501+ level for PWTD; 4) several Mission Critical Occupations with low selection rates among new hires for PWD/PWTD; and 5) limited data analysis preventing the identification of triggers and removal of possible barriers to employment and advancement for PWD/PWTD.

\*Note: Table A4/B4 analysis includes workforce data on GS and equivalent pay-bands/pay scales based on comparative GS salaries. That is, all pay bands were converted to their salary equivalent in the GS pay scale.

#### CONCLUSION

During FY18, movement continued towards establishing NOAA as a Model Workplace through the completion of three (3) complex action plans. In FY18, the EEOC implemented 85 new assessment questions, totaling 157 compliance measures. Of those, 13 required EEO Action Plans.

The Agency remains committed to examining the reasons for the low participation rates of women, Hispanics, and conducting a barrier analysis on identified triggers for PWD/PWTD, and implementing actions identified in the Agency's D&I Plan.

CRO will continue to strengthen relationships with key stakeholders across the Agency and other stakeholders on issues relating to MD-715 and will work to address the newly identified compliance measures that were not met in FY18.

#### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

## MD-715 Parts A Through E

## Part A - Department or Agency Identifying Information

| Agency                            | Second Level<br>Component              | Address   | City                          | State | Zip<br>Code<br>(xxxxx) | Agency<br>Code<br>(xxxx) | FIPS<br>Code<br>(xxxx) |
|-----------------------------------|--|---|-------------------------------|-------|------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| U.S.<br>Department<br>of Commerce | National<br>Oceanic and<br>Atmospheric | Herbert C. Hoover<br>Building, Room<br>5128         | Washington,<br>20230          | DC    | 20230                  | CM54                     | 1330                   |
|                                   | Administration                         | 14 <sup>th</sup> and Constitution<br>Avenue, N.W.,  | OR                            | OR    | OR                     |                          |                        |
|                                   |  | OR<br>1305 East West<br>Highway<br>SSMC4, Room 7500 | Silver<br>Spring, MD<br>20910 | MD    | 20910                  |                          |                        |

## Part B - Total Employment

| Total Employment       | Permanent Workforce | Temporary Workforce | Total Workforce |
|------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| Number of<br>Employees | 11,172              | 169                 | 11,341          |

## Part C.1 - Head of Agency and Head of Agency Designee

| Agency Leadership          | Name                  | Title   |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|---|
| Head of Agency             | Neil A. Jacobs, Ph.D. | Assistant Secretary of Commerce for<br>Environmental Observation and<br>Prediction Performing the duties of<br>Under Secretary of Commerce for<br>Oceans and Atmosphere |
| Head of Agency<br>Designee | Benjamin Friedman     | Deputy Under Secretary for Operations   |

#### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

## Part C.2 - Agency Official(s) Responsible for Oversight of EEO Program(s)

| EEO Program<br>Staff  | Name                 | Title   | Occupational<br>Series (xxxx) | Pay Plan<br>and<br>Grade<br>(xx-xx) | Phone<br>Number<br>(xxx-xxx-<br>xxxx) | Email Address             |
|---|----------------------|---|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Principal EEO<br>Director/Official  | Kenneth M.<br>Bailey | Director,<br>Office of<br>Inclusion &<br>Civil Rights<br>(OICR) | 0260                          | ZA-V                                | 301-628-<br>0954                      | kenneth.bailey@noaa.gov   |
| Affirmative<br>Employment<br>Program Manager                                      | Kenneth M.<br>Bailey | Director,<br>OICR   | 0260                          | ZA-V                                | 301-628-<br>0954                      | kenneth.bailey@noaa.gov   |
| Complaint<br>Processing<br>Program Manager  | Carol<br>Summers     | EEO<br>Specialist   | 0260                          | ZA-IV                               | 301-628-<br>0950                      | carol.l.summers@noaa.gov  |
| Diversity &<br>Inclusion Officer  | Richard<br>Grant     | Deputy<br>Director,<br>OICR                                     | 0260                          | ZA-IV                               | 301-628-<br>1032                      | richard.grant@noaa.gov    |
| Hispanic Program<br>Manager (SEPM)  | Amneris<br>Caba      | EEO<br>Specialist   | 0260                          | ZA-IV                               | 301-628-<br>0963                      | amneris.caba@noaa.gov     |
| Women's<br>Program Manager<br>(SEPM)  | Linda<br>Walker      | EEO<br>Specialist   | 0260                          | ZA-III                              | 301-628-<br>1025                      | linda.walker@noaa.gov     |
| Disability<br>Program Manager<br>(SEPM)   | Carol<br>Summers     | EEO<br>Specialist   | 0260                          | ZA-IV                               | 301-628-<br>0950                      | carol.l.summers@noaa.gov  |
| Special Placement<br>Program<br>Coordinator<br>(Individuals with<br>Disabilities) | Kiana<br>Campbell    | Human<br>Resource<br>Specialist                                 | 0201                          | ZA-IV                               | 301-628-<br>1843                      | kiana.d.campbell@noaa.gov |
| Reasonable<br>Accommodation<br>Program Manager                                    | Debbie<br>Ferrara    | Reas.<br>Accomm.<br>Coordinator                                 | 0301                          | ZA-III                              | 816-426-<br>7822                      | debbie.a.ferrara@noaa.gov |
| Anti-Harassment<br>Program Manager  | Renee<br>Desrosiers  | Director,<br>Workforce<br>Relations<br>Division                 | 0301                          | ZA-V                                | 301-628-<br>1809                      | renee.desrosiers@noaa.gov |

| EEO Program<br>Staff         | Name                | Title                      | Occupational<br>Series (xxxx) | Pay Plan<br>and<br>Grade<br>(xx-xx) | Phone<br>Number<br>(xxx-xxx-<br>xxxx) | Email Address              |
|------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| ADR Program<br>Manager       | Stephanie<br>Jones  | Chief, Work<br>Life Branch | 0343                          | ZA-V                                | 301-628-<br>1878                      | stephanie.j.jones@noaa.gov |
| Compliance<br>Manager        | Carol<br>Summers    | EEO<br>Specialist          | 0260                          | ZA-IV                               | 301-628-<br>0950                      | carol.l.summers@noaa.gov   |
| Principal MD-715<br>Preparer | Coneshea<br>Simpson | EEO<br>Specialist          | 0260                          | ZA-IV                               | 301- 628-<br>0952                     | coneshea.simpson@noaa.gov  |
| Other EEO Staff              | Salim<br>Abddeen    | EEO<br>Specialist          | 0260                          | ZA-IV                               | 301-628-<br>0956                      | salim.abddeen@noaa.gov     |
|                              | Michelle<br>Moore   | EEO<br>Specialist          | 0260                          | ZA-IV                               | 301-628-<br>0957                      | michelle.t.moore@noaa.gov  |
|                              | Tillman<br>Peck     | IT Specialist              | 2210                          | ZP-III                              | 301-628-<br>0953                      | tillman.m.peck@noaa.gov    |

#### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

## Part D.1 – List of Subordinate Components Covered in this Report

Please identify the subordinate components within the agency (e.g., bureaus, regions, etc.).

If the agency does not have any subordinate components, please check the box.

| Subordinate Component   | City                        | State | Country<br>(Optional) | Agency<br>Code (xxxx) | FIPS<br>Codes<br>(xxxxx) |
|---|-----------------------------|-------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| National Weather Service<br>(NWS)   | Silver Spring               | MD    |                       | CM54                  | 24031                    |
| National Ocean Service (NOS)  | Silver Spring               | MD    |                       | CM54                  | 24031                    |
| National Marine Fisheries<br>Service (NMFS)                                   | Silver Spring               | MD    |                       | CM54                  | 24031                    |
| Office of Oceanic and<br>Atmospheric Research (OAR)                           | Silver Spring               | MD    |                       | CM54                  | 24031                    |
| National Environmental<br>Satellite, Data and Information<br>Service (NESDIS) | Silver Spring               | MD    |                       | CM54                  | 24031                    |
| Office of Marine and Aviation<br>Operations (OMAO)                            | Silver Spring               | MD    |                       | CM54                  | 24031                    |
| NOAA Staff Offices  | Washington/Silver<br>Spring | DC/MD |                       | CM54                  | 24031                    |

#### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

## Part D.2 – Mandatory and Optional Documents for this Report

In the table below, the agency must submit these documents with its MD-715 report.

| Did the agency submit the following mandatory documents? | Please respond Yes<br>or No | Comments                       |
|--|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Organizational Chart                                     | Yes                         |                                |
| EEO Policy Statement                                     | Yes                         |                                |
| Strategic Plan   | No                          | No current plan.               |
| Anti-Harassment Policy and Procedures                    | Yes                         |                                |
| Reasonable Accommodation Procedures                      | No                          | DOC draft in review with EEOC. |
| Personal Assistance Services Procedures                  | No                          | DOC draft in review with EEOC. |
| Alternative Dispute Resolution Procedures                | Yes                         |                                |

In the table below, the agency may decide whether to submit these documents with its MD-715 report.

| Did the agency submit the following optional documents?  | Please respond Yes<br>or No | Comments |
|--|-----------------------------|----------|
| Federal Equal Opportunity Recruitment Program (FEORP) Report   | Yes                         |          |
| Disabled Veterans Affirmative Action Program (DVAAP) Report  | Yes                         |          |
| Operational Plan for Increasing Employment of Individuals with<br>Disabilities under Executive Order 13548 | Yes                         |          |
| Diversity and Inclusion Plan under Executive Order 13583   | Yes                         |          |
| Diversity Policy Statement   | Yes                         |          |
| Human Capital Strategic Plan   | No                          |          |
| EEO Strategic Plan   | No                          |          |
| Results from most recent Federal Employee Viewpoint Survey or<br>Annual Employee Survey                    | Yes                         |          |

#### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

#### Part E – Executive Summary

All agencies must complete Part E.1; however, only agencies with 199 or fewer employees in permanent FT/PT appointments are required to complete Part E.2 to E.5. Agencies with 200 or more employees in permanent FT/PT appointments have the option to Part E.2 to E.5.

#### Part E.1 - Executive Summary: Mission

#### NOAA's Mission: Science, Service and Stewardship:

To understand and predict changes in climate, weather, oceans and coasts.

Science at NOAA is the systematic study of the structure and behavior of the ocean, atmosphere, and related ecosystems; integration of research and analysis; observations and monitoring; and environmental modeling. NOAA science includes discoveries and ever new understanding of the oceans and atmosphere, and the application of this understanding to such issues as the causes and consequences of climate change, the physical dynamics of high-impact weather events, the dynamics of complex ecosystems and biodiversity, and the ability to model and predict the future states of these systems. Science provides the foundation and future promise of the service and stewardship elements of NOAA's mission.

To share that knowledge and information with others.

Service is the communication of NOAA's research, data, information, and knowledge for use by the Nation's businesses, communities, and people's daily lives. NOAA services include climate predictions and projections; weather and water reports, forecasts and warnings; nautical charts and navigational information; and the continuous delivery of a range of Earth observations and scientific data sets for use by public, private, and academic sectors.

To conserve and manage coastal and marine ecosystems and resources.

Stewardship is NOAA's direct use of its knowledge to protect people and the environment, as the Agency exercises its direct authority to regulate and sustain marine fisheries and their ecosystems, protect endangered marine and anadromous species, protect and restore habitats and ecosystems, conserve marine sanctuaries and other protected places, respond to environmental emergencies, and aid in disaster recovery. The foundation of NOAA's long-standing record of scientific, technical, and organizational excellence is its people. NOAA's diverse functions require an equally diverse set of skills and constantly evolving abilities in its workforce.

Also underlying NOAA's continued success is its unique infrastructure. NOAA's core mission functions require satellite systems, ships, buoys, aircraft, research facilities, high-performance computing, and information management and distribution systems. The agency provides research-to-application capabilities that can recognize and apply significant new understanding to questions, develop research products and methods, and apply emerging science and technology to user needs. NOAA invests in and depends heavily on the science, management, and engagement capabilities of its partners. Collectively, NOAA's organizational enterprise-wide capabilities — its people, infrastructure, research, and partnerships — are essential for NOAA to achieve its vision, mission, and long-term goals.

#### NOAA's Vision of the Future:

Earth's ecosystems support people, communities, and economies. Our own human health, prosperity, and well-being depend upon the health and resilience of natural and social ecosystems. Managing this interdependence requires timely and usable scientific information to make decisions. Human well-being requires preparing for and responding to changes within these natural systems. NOAA's mission of science, service, and stewardship is directed to a vision of the future where societies and their ecosystems are healthy and resilient in the face of sudden or prolonged change.

#### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

A vision of resilience will guide NOAA and its partners in a collective effort to reduce the vulnerability of communities and ecological systems in the short-term, while helping society avoid or adapt to potential long-term environmental, social, and economic changes. To achieve this vision we must understand current Earth system conditions, project future changes, and help people make informed decisions that reduce their vulnerability to environmental hazards and stresses that emerge over time, while at the same time increase their ability to cope with them. Resilient human communities and economies maintain or improve their health and vitality over time by anticipating, absorbing, diffusing, and adapting to change. Resilient communities and institutions derive goods from ecosystems in a way that does not compromise ecosystem integrity, yet is economically feasible and socially just for future generations.

NOAA, one of several operating units within the U.S. Department of Commerce (DOC), provides a variety of services to the Nation. These services are provided by NOAA's National Weather Service (NWS); National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS); National Ocean Service (NOS); National Environmental Satellite, Data and Information Service (NESDIS); Office of Oceanic and Atmospheric Research (OAR); and the Office of Marine and Aviation Operations (OMAO).

NOAA's most populous occupations include the following job series: Meteorologist (1340), Information Technology Management (2210), Fishery Biologist (0482), General Physical Science (1301), and Management Program Analyst (0343).

#### Part E.2 - Executive Summary: Essential Element A - F

N/A

#### Part E.3 - Executive Summary: Workforce Analyses

N/A

#### Part E.4 - Executive Summary: Accomplishments

N/A

#### Part E.5 - Executive Summary: Planned Activities

N/A

#### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

#### MD-715 - PART G Agency Self-Assessment Checklist

The Part G Self-Assessment Checklist is a series of questions designed to provide federal agencies with an effective means for conducting the annual self-assessment required in Part F of MD-715. This self-assessment permits EEO Directors to recognize, and to highlight for their senior staff, deficiencies in their EEO program that the agency must address to comply with MD-715's requirements. Nothing in Part G prevents agencies from establishing additional practices that exceed the requirements set forth in this checklist.

All agencies will be required to submit Part G to EEOC. Although agencies need not submit documentation to support their Part G responses, they must maintain such documentation on file and make it available to EEOC upon request.

The Part G checklist is organized to track the MD-715 essential elements. As a result, a single substantive matter may appear in several different sections, but in different contexts. For example, questions about establishing an anti-harassment policy fall within Element C (Management and Program Accountability), while questions about providing training under the anti-harassment policy are found in Element A (Demonstrated Commitment from Agency Leadership).

For each MD-715 essential element, the Part G checklist provides a series of "compliance indicators." Each compliance indicator, in turn, contains a series of "yes/no" questions, called "measures." To the right of the measures, there are two columns, one for the agency to answer the measure with "Yes", "No", or "NA;" and the second column for the agency to provide "comments", if necessary. Agencies should briefly explain any "N/A" answer in the comments. For example, many of the sub-component agencies are not responsible for issuing final agency decisions (FADs) in the EEO complaint process, so it may answer questions about FAD timeliness with "NA" and explain in the comments column that the parent agency drafts all FADs.

A "No" response to any measure in Part G is a program deficiency. For each such "No" response, an agency will be required in Part H to identify a plan for correcting the identified deficiency. If one or more sub-components answer "No" to a particular question, the agency-wide/parent agency's report should also include that "No" response.

| Compliance<br>Indicator<br>Measures | A.1 – The agency issues an effective, up-to-date EEO policy statement.  | Measure Met?<br>(Yes/No/NA) | Comments   | Current Part G<br>Questions |
|-------------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|--|-----------------------------|
| A.1.a                               | Does the agency annually issue a signed and dated EEO policy<br>statement on agency letterhead that clearly communicates the agency's<br>commitment to EEO for all employees and applicants? If "yes", please<br>provide the annual issuance date in the comments column. [see MD-<br>715, II(A)] | YES                         | 11/2/2018  | A.1.a.2                     |
| A.1.b                               | Does the EEO policy statement address all protected bases (age, color, disability, sex (including pregnancy, sexual orientation and gender identity), genetic information, national origin, race, religion, and reprisal) contained in the laws EEOC enforces? [see 29 CFR § 1614.101(a)]         | YES                         |  | New                         |
| Compliance<br>Indicator<br>Measures | A.2 – The agency has communicated EEO policies and procedures to all employees.   | Measure Met?<br>(Yes/No/NA) | Comments   |                             |
| A.2.a                               | Does the agency disseminate the following policies and procedures to all  |                             |  |                             |
| A.2.a.1                             | employees:  | YES                         |  | Now                         |
| A.2.a.1<br>A.2.a.2                  | Anti-harassment policy? [see MD 715, II(A)]<br>Reasonable accommodation procedures? [see 29 C.F.R §<br>1614.203(d)(3)]  | YES                         |  | New<br>New                  |
| A.2.b                               | Does the agency prominently post the following information throughout the workplace <b>and</b> on its public website:   |                             |  |                             |
| A.2.b.1                             | The business contact information for its EEO Counselors, EEO Officers,<br>Special Emphasis Program Managers, and EEO Director? [see 29 C.F.R<br>§ 1614.102(b)(7)]   | NO                          | See Part H Plan  | New                         |
| A.2.b.2                             | Written materials concerning the EEO program, laws, policy statements,<br>and the operation of the EEO complaint process? [see 29 C.F.R §<br>1614.102(b)(5)]  | YES                         |  | A.2.c                       |
| A.2.b.3                             | Reasonable accommodation procedures? [see 29 C.F.R. §<br>1614.203(d)(3)(i)] If so, please provide the internet address in the<br>comments column.   | YES                         | https://www.wfm.noaa.<br>gov/workplace/RAC.ht<br>ml<br>For applicants, the<br>policy is clearly stated<br>in JOA w/link to<br>www.opm.gov/policy-<br>data- | A.3.c                       |

|  |  |                             | oversight/disability-<br>employment/reasonabl<br>e-accommodations/ |       |
|--|--|-----------------------------|--|-------|
| A.2.c  | Does the agency inform its employees about the following topics:   |                             |  |       |
| A.2.c.1                                      | EEO complaint process? [see 29 CFR §§ 1614.102(a)(12) and 1614.102(b)(5)] If "yes", please provide how often.  | YES                         | Annually   | A.2.a |
| A.2.c.2                                      | ADR process? [see MD-110, Ch. 3(II)(C)] If "yes", please provide how often.  | YES                         | Annually   | New   |
| A.2.c.3                                      | Reasonable accommodation program? [see 29 CFR § 1614.203(d)(7)(ii)(C)] If "yes", please provide how often.   | YES                         | Annually   | New   |
| A.2.c.4                                      | Anti-harassment program? [see EEOC Enforcement Guidance on Vicarious Employer Liability for Unlawful Harassment by Supervisors (1999), § V.C.1] If "yes", please provide how often.  | YES                         | Annually   | New   |
| A.2.c.5                                      | Behaviors that are inappropriate in the workplace and could result in disciplinary action? [5 CFR § 2635.101(b)] If "yes", please provide how often.   | YES                         | Annually   | A.3.b |
|  | A.3 – The agency assesses and ensures EEO principles are part of   | Measure Met?<br>(Yes/No/NA) | Comments   |       |
| Indicator                                    | its culture.   | (TCS/NO/NA)                 | New Compliance<br>Indicator  |       |
| Compliance<br>Indicator<br>Measures<br>A.3.a | Does the agency provide recognition to employees, supervisors,<br>managers, and units demonstrating superior accomplishment in equal<br>employment opportunity? [see 29 CFR § 1614.102(a) (9)] If "yes",<br>provide one or two examples in the comments section. | YES                         | -  | New   |

| Compliance<br>Indicator<br>Measures | B.1 - The reporting structure for the EEO program provides the principal EEO official with appropriate authority and resources to effectively carry out a successful EEO program.  | Measure Met?<br>(Yes/No/NA) | Comments   |       |
|-------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|--|-------|
| B.1.a                               | Is the agency head the immediate supervisor of the person ("EEO<br>Director") who has day-to-day control over the EEO office? [see 29 CFR<br>§1614.102(b)(4)]  | NO                          |  | B.1.a |
| B.1.a.1                             | If the EEO Director does not report to the agency head, does the EEO Director report to the same agency head designee as the mission-related programmatic offices? If "yes," please provide the title of the agency head designee in the comments.   | YES                         | Deputy Under<br>Secretary for<br>Operations (DUSO) | New   |
| B.1.a.2                             | Does the agency's organizational chart clearly define the reporting structure for the EEO office? [see 29 CFR §1614.102(b)(4)]   | NO                          | See Part H Plan                                    | B.1.d |
| B.1.b                               | Does the EEO Director have a regular and effective means of advising<br>the agency head and other senior management officials of the<br>effectiveness, efficiency and legal compliance of the agency's EEO<br>program? [see 29 CFR §1614.102(c)(1); MD-715 Instructions, Sec. I]   | YES                         |  | B.2.a |
| B.1.c                               | During this reporting period, did the EEO Director present to the head of<br>the agency, and other senior management officials, the "State of the<br>agency" briefing covering the six essential elements of the model EEO<br>program and the status of the barrier analysis process? [see MD-715<br>Instructions, Sec. I)] If "yes", please provide the date of the briefing in the<br>comments column. | YES                         | 4/10/18  | B.2.b |
| B.1.d                               | Does the EEO Director regularly participate in senior-level staff meetings concerning personnel, budget, technology, and other workforce issues? [see MD-715, II(B)]   | YES                         |  | New   |
| Compliance<br>Indicator<br>Measures | B.2 – The EEO Director controls all aspects of the EEO program.  | Measure Met?<br>(Yes/No/NA) | Comments<br>New Compliance<br>Indicator            |       |
| B.2.a                               | Is the EEO Director responsible for the implementation of a continuing affirmative employment program to promote EEO and to identify and   | YES                         |  | B.3.a |

|                                     | eliminate discriminatory policies, procedures, and practices? [see MD-110, Ch. 1(III)(A); 29 CFR §1614.102(c)]  |                             |   |               |
|-------------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|---|---------------|
| B.2.b                               | Is the EEO Director responsible for overseeing the completion of EEO counseling [see 29 CFR §1614.102(c)(4)]  | YES                         |   | New           |
| B.2.c                               | Is the EEO Director responsible for overseeing the fair and thorough<br>investigation of EEO complaints? [see 29 CFR §1614.102(c)(5)] [This<br>question may not be applicable for certain subordinate level<br>components.]   | N/A                         | This is managed by<br>the DOC Office of Civil<br>Rights.                    | New           |
| B.2.d                               | Is the EEO Director responsible for overseeing the timely issuance of final agency decisions? [see 29 CFR §1614.102(c)(5)] [This question may not be applicable for certain subordinate level components.]  | N/A                         | This is managed by<br>the DOC Office of Civil<br>Rights.                    | New           |
| B.2.e                               | Is the EEO Director responsible for ensuring compliance with EEOC orders? [see 29 CFR §§ 1614.102(e); 1614.502]   | YES                         |   | F.3.b         |
| B.2.f                               | Is the EEO Director responsible for periodically evaluating the entire<br>EEO program and providing recommendations for improvement to the<br>agency head? [see 29 CFR §1614.102(c)(2)]   | YES                         |   | New           |
| B.2.g                               | If the agency has subordinate level components, does the EEO Director provide effective guidance and coordination for the components? [see 29 CFR §§ 1614.102(c)(2) and (c)(3)]   | YES                         |   | New           |
| Compliance<br>Indicator<br>Measures | B.3 - The EEO Director and other EEO professional staff are involved in, and consulted on, management/personnel actions.  | Measure Met?<br>(Yes/No/NA) | Comments  |               |
| B.3.a                               | Do EEO program officials participate in agency meetings regarding<br>workforce changes that might impact EEO issues, including strategic<br>planning, recruitment strategies, vacancy projections, succession<br>planning, and selections for training/career development opportunities?<br>[see MD-715, II(B)] | YES                         |   | B.2.c & B.2.d |
| B.3.b                               | Does the agency's current strategic plan reference EEO / diversity and inclusion principles? [see MD-715, II(B)] If "yes", please identify the EEO principles in the strategic plan in the comments column.   | YES                         | Increase numbers of<br>underrepresented<br>groups in the NOAA<br>workforce. | New           |

| Compliance<br>Indicator<br>Measures | B.4 - The agency has sufficient budget and staffing to support the success of its EEO program.   | Measure Met?<br>(Yes/No/NA) | Comments |                                       |
|-------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|----------|---------------------------------------|
| B.4.a                               | Pursuant to 29 CFR §1614.102(a)(1), has the agency allocated sufficient funding and qualified staffing to successfully implement the EEO program, for the following areas:   |                             |          |                                       |
| B.4.a.1                             | to conduct a self-assessment of the agency for possible program deficiencies? [see MD-715, II(D)]  | YES                         |          | B.3.b                                 |
| B.4.a.2                             | to enable the agency to conduct a thorough barrier analysis of its workforce? [see MD-715, II(B)]  | YES                         |          | B.4.a                                 |
| B.4.a.3                             | to timely, thoroughly, and fairly process EEO complaints, including EEO counseling, investigations, final agency decisions, and legal sufficiency reviews? [see 29 CFR § 1614.102(c)(5) & 1614.105(b) – (f); MD-110, Ch. 1(IV)(D) & 5(IV); MD-715, II(E)]  | YES                         |          | E.5.b                                 |
| B.4.a.4                             | to provide all supervisors and employees with training on the EEO program, including but not limited to retaliation, harassment, religious accommodations, disability accommodations, the EEO complaint process, and ADR? [see MD-715, II(B) and III(C)] If not, please identify the type(s) of training with insufficient funding in the comments column. | YES                         |          | B.4.f & B.4.g                         |
| B.4.a.5                             | to conduct thorough, accurate, and effective field audits of the EEO programs in components and the field offices, if applicable? [see 29 CFR §1614.102(c)(2)]   | YES                         |          | E.1.c                                 |
| B.4.a.6                             | to publish and distribute EEO materials (e.g. harassment policies, EEO posters, reasonable accommodations procedures)? [see MD-715, II(B)]   | YES                         |          | B.4.c                                 |
| B.4.a.7                             | to maintain accurate data collection and tracking systems for the following types of data: complaint tracking, workforce demographics, and applicant flow data? [see MD-715, II(E)]. If not, please identify the systems with insufficient funding in the comments section.  | YES                         |          | New                                   |
| B.4.a.8                             | to effectively administer its special emphasis programs (such as, Federal<br>Women's Program, Hispanic Employment Program, and People with<br>Disabilities Program Manager)? [5 USC § 7201; 38 USC § 4214; 5 CFR<br>§ 720.204; 5 CFR § 213.3102(t) and (u); 5 CFR § 315.709]   | YES                         |          | B.3.c, B.3.c.1, B.3.c.2,<br>& B.3.c.3 |

| B.4.a.9                 | to effectively manage its anti-harassment program? [see MD-715<br>Instructions, Sec. I); EEOC Enforcement Guidance on Vicarious<br>Employer Liability for Unlawful Harassment by Supervisors (1999), §<br>V.C.1]                       | YES                         |                           | New   |
|-------------------------|--|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-------|
| B.4.a.10                | to effectively manage its reasonable accommodation program? [see 29 CFR § 1614.203(d)(4)(ii)]  | YES                         |                           | B.4.d |
| B.4.a.11                | to ensure timely and complete compliance with EEOC orders? [see MD-715, II(E)]   | YES                         |                           | New   |
| B.4.b                   | Does the EEO office have a budget that is separate from other offices within the agency? [see 29 CFR § 1614.102(a)(1)]   | YES                         |                           | New   |
| B.4.c                   | Are the duties and responsibilities of EEO officials clearly defined? [see MD-110, Ch. 1(III)(A), 2(III), & 6(III)]  | YES                         |                           | B.1.b |
| B.4.d                   | Does the agency ensure that all new counselors and investigators,<br>including contractors and collateral duty employees, receive the required<br>32 hours of training, pursuant to Ch. 2(II)(A) of MD-110?                            | YES                         |                           | E.2.d |
| B.4.e                   | Does the agency ensure that all experienced counselors and<br>investigators, including contractors and collateral duty employees,<br>receive the required 8 hours of annual refresher training, pursuant to Ch.<br>2(II)(C) of MD-110? | YES                         |                           | E.2.e |
| Compliance<br>Indicator | B.5 – The agency recruits, hires, develops, and retains supervisors<br>and managers who have effective managerial, communications,<br>and interpersonal skills.  | Measure Met?<br>(Yes/No/NA) | Comments<br>New Indicator |       |
| B.5.a                   | Pursuant to 29 CFR § 1614.102(a)(5), have all managers and supervisors received training on their responsibilities under the following areas under the agency EEO program:   |                             |                           |       |
| B.5.a.1                 | EEO Complaint Process? [see MD-715(II)(B)]   | YES                         |                           | New   |
| B.5.a.2                 | Reasonable Accommodation Procedures? [see 29 C.F.R. §<br>1614.102(d)(3)]   | YES                         |                           | A.3.d |
| B.5.a.3                 | Anti-Harassment Policy? [see MD-715(II)(B)]  | YES                         |                           | New   |
| B.5.a.4                 | Supervisory, managerial, communication, and interpersonal skills in order to supervise most effectively in a workplace with diverse  | YES                         |                           | New   |

|                                     | ampleyees and evoid disputes origing from inoffective communications?   |                             |                 |       |
|-------------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|-----------------|-------|
|                                     | employees and avoid disputes arising from ineffective communications?<br>[see MD-715, II(B)]  |                             |                 |       |
| B.5.a.5                             | ADR, with emphasis on the federal government's interest in encouraging mutual resolution of disputes and the benefits associated with utilizing ADR? [see MD-715(II)(E)]  | YES                         |                 | E.4.b |
| _                                   |   |                             | <b>•</b> • •    |       |
| Compliance                          | B.6 – The agency involves managers in the implementation of its EEO program.  | Measure Met?<br>(Yes/No/NA) | Comments        |       |
| Indicator                           |   | (Teshonky)                  | New Indicator   |       |
| Measures                            |   |                             |                 |       |
| B.6.a                               | Are senior managers involved in the implementation of Special<br>Emphasis Programs? [see MD-715 Instructions, Sec. I]   | YES                         |                 | New   |
| B.6.b                               | Do senior managers participate in the barrier analysis process? [see MD-715 Instructions, Sec. I]   | YES                         |                 | D.1.a |
| B.6.c                               | When barriers are identified, do senior managers assist in developing agency EEO action plans (Part I, Part J, or the Executive Summary)? [see MD-715 Instructions, Sec. I]   | YES                         |                 | D.1.b |
| B.6.d                               | Do senior managers successfully implement EEO Action Plans and<br>incorporate the EEO Action Plan Objectives into agency strategic plans?<br>[29 CFR § 1614.102(a)(5)]  | YES                         |                 | D.1.c |
|                                     | Essential Element C: MANAGEMENT AND PROGRAM ACC<br>nt requires the agency head to hold all managers, supervisors, and EEO<br>implementation of the agency's EEO Program and P   | officials responsib<br>lan. |                 |       |
| Compliance<br>Indicator<br>Measures | C.1 – The agency conducts regular internal audits of its component<br>and field offices.  | Measure Met?<br>(Yes/No/NA) | Comments        |       |
| C.1.a                               | Does the agency regularly assess its component and field offices for possible EEO program deficiencies? [see 29 CFR §1614.102(c)(2)] If "yes", please provide the schedule for conducting audits in the comments section. | NO                          | See Part H Plan | New   |

| C.1.b                               | Does the agency regularly assess its component and field offices on their efforts to remove barriers from the workplace? [see 29 CFR §1614.102(c)(2)] If "yes", please provide the schedule for conducting audits in the comments section.   | NO                          | See Part H Plan  | New |
|-------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|--|-----|
| C.1.c                               | Do the component and field offices make reasonable efforts to comply with the recommendations of the field audit? [see MD-715, II(C)]  | NO                          | See Part H Plan  | New |
| Compliance<br>Indicator<br>Measures | C.2 – The agency has established procedures to prevent all forms of EEO discrimination.  | Measure Met?<br>(Yes/No/NA) | Comments<br>New Indicator                                |     |
| C.2.a                               | Has the agency established comprehensive anti-harassment policy and<br>procedures that comply with EEOC's enforcement guidance? [see MD-<br>715, II(C); Enforcement Guidance on Vicarious Employer Liability for<br>Unlawful Harassment by Supervisors (Enforcement Guidance), EEOC<br>No. 915.002, § V.C.1 (June 18, 1999)] | N/A                         | This is managed by<br>the DOC Office of Civil<br>Rights. | New |
| C.2.a.1                             | Does the anti-harassment policy require corrective action to prevent or<br>eliminate conduct before it rises to the level of unlawful harassment?<br>[see EEOC Enforcement Guidance on Vicarious Employer Liability for<br>Unlawful Harassment by Supervisors (1999), § V.C.1]   | YES                         |  | New |
| C.2.a.2                             | Has the agency established a firewall between the Anti-Harassment<br>Coordinator and the EEO Director? [see EEOC Report, Model EEO<br>Program Must Have an Effective Anti-Harassment Program (2006]  | YES                         |  | New |
| C.2.a.3                             | Does the agency have a separate procedure (outside the EEO complaint<br>process) to address harassment allegations? [see Enforcement<br>Guidance on Vicarious Employer Liability for Unlawful Harassment by<br>Supervisors (Enforcement Guidance), EEOC No. 915.002, § V.C.1<br>(June 18, 1999)]                             | YES                         |  | New |
| C.2.a.4                             | Does the agency ensure that the EEO office informs the anti-harassment program of all EEO counseling activity alleging harassment? [see Enforcement Guidance, V.C.]  | YES                         |  | New |
| C.2.a.5                             | Does the agency conduct a prompt inquiry (beginning within 10 days of notification) of all harassment allegations, including those initially raised in the EEO complaint process? [see <u>Complainant v. Dep't of Veterans</u>   | YES                         |  | New |

|         | Affairs, EEOC Appeal No. 0120123232 (May 21, 2015); Complainant v. Dep't of Defense (Defense Commissary Agency), EEOC Appeal No. 0120130331 (May 29, 2015)] If "no", please provide the percentage of timely-processed inquiries in the comments column.     |     |  |       |
|---------|--|-----|--|-------|
| C.2.a.6 | Do the agency's training materials on its anti-harassment policy include examples of disability-based harassment? [see 29 CFR 1614.203(d)(2)]  | NO  | See Part H Plan  | New   |
| C.2.b   | Has the agency established disability reasonable accommodation procedures that comply with EEOC's regulations and guidance? [see 29 CFR 1614.203(d)(3)]  | N/A | This is managed by<br>the DOC Office of Civil<br>Rights.; draft w/EEOC<br>for feedback | New   |
| C.2.b.1 | Is there a designated agency official or other mechanism in place to coordinate or assist with processing requests for disability accommodations throughout the agency? [see 29 CFR 1614.203(d)(3)(D)]   | YES |  | E.1.d |
| C.2.b.2 | Has the agency established a firewall between the Reasonable<br>Accommodation Program Manager and the EEO Director? [see MD-110,<br>Ch. 1(IV)(A)]  | YES |  | New   |
| C.2.b.3 | Does the agency ensure that job applicants can request and receive reasonable accommodations during the application and placement processes? [see 29 CFR 1614.203(d)(1)(ii)(B)]  | YES |  | New   |
| C.2.b.4 | Do the reasonable accommodation procedures clearly state that the agency should process the request within a maximum amount of time (e.g., 20 business days), as established by the agency in its affirmative action plan? [see 29 CFR 1614.203(d)(3)(i)(M)] | YES |  | New   |
| C.2.b.5 | Does the agency process all accommodation requests within the time frame set forth in its reasonable accommodation procedures? [see MD-715, II(C)] If "no", please provide the percentage of timely-processed requests in the comments column.               | NO  | 50% Timely; See Part<br>H Plan   | E.1.e |
| C.2.c   | Has the agency established procedures for processing requests for personal assistance services that comply with EEOC's regulations, enforcement guidance, and other applicable executive orders, guidance, and standards? [see 29 CFR 1614.203(d)(6)]        | YES |  | New   |
| C.2.c.1 | Does the agency post its procedures for processing requests for<br>Personal Assistance Services on its public website? [see 29 CFR §<br>1614.203(d)(5)(v)] If "yes", please provide the internet address in the<br>comments column.                          | YES | https://www.wfm.noaa.<br>gov/workplace/RAC.ht<br><u>ml</u>                             | New   |

| Compliance<br>Indicator<br>Measures | C.3 - The agency evaluates managers and supervisors on their efforts to ensure equal employment opportunity.  | Measure Met?<br>(Yes/No/NA) | Comments<br>New Indicator |         |
|-------------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---------|
| C.3.a                               | Pursuant to 29 CFR §1614.102(a)(5), do all managers and supervisors<br>have an element in their performance appraisal that evaluates their<br>commitment to agency EEO policies and principles and their<br>participation in the EEO program? | YES                         |                           | New     |
| C.3.b                               | Does the agency require rating officials to evaluate the performance of managers and supervisors based on the following activities:   |                             |                           |         |
| C.3.b.1                             | Resolve EEO problems/disagreements/conflicts, including the participation in ADR proceedings? [see MD-110, Ch. 3.I]   | YES                         |                           | A.3.a.1 |
| C.3.b.2                             | Ensure full cooperation of employees under his/her supervision with EEO officials, such as counselors and investigators? [see 29 CFR §1614.102(b)(6)]   | YES                         |                           | A.3.a.4 |
| C.3.b.3                             | Ensure a workplace that is free from all forms of discrimination, including harassment and retaliation? [see MD-715, II(C)]   | YES                         |                           | A.3.a.5 |
| C.3.b.4                             | Ensure that subordinate supervisors have effective managerial,<br>communication, and interpersonal skills to supervise in a workplace with<br>diverse employees? [see MD-715 Instructions, Sec. I]  | YES                         |                           | A.3.a.6 |
| C.3.b.5                             | Provide religious accommodations when such accommodations do not cause an undue hardship? [see 29 CFR §1614.102(a)(7)]  | YES                         |                           | A.3.a.7 |
| C.3.b.6                             | Provide disability accommodations when such accommodations do not cause an undue hardship? [see 29 CFR §1614.102(a)(8)]   | YES                         |                           | A.3.a.8 |
| C.3.b.7                             | Support the EEO program in identifying and removing barriers to equal opportunity. [see MD-715, II(C)]  | YES                         |                           | New     |
| C.3.b.8                             | Support the anti-harassment program in investigating and correcting harassing conduct. [see Enforcement Guidance, V.C.2]  | YES                         |                           | A.3.a.2 |
| C.3.b.9                             | Comply with settlement agreements and orders issued by the agency,<br>EEOC, and EEO-related cases from the Merit Systems Protection<br>Board, labor arbitrators, and the Federal Labor Relations Authority? [see<br>MD-715, II(C)]            | YES                         |                           | New     |

| C.3.c                               | Does the EEO Director recommend to the agency head improvements<br>or corrections, including remedial or disciplinary actions, for managers<br>and supervisors who have failed in their EEO responsibilities? [see 29<br>CFR §1614.102(c)(2)]  | YES                         | OICR Director is on<br>the Disciplinary<br>Review Panel for<br>EEO-related issues. | New                   |
|-------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|--|-----------------------|
| C.3.d                               | When the EEO Director recommends remedial or disciplinary actions, are the recommendations regularly implemented by the agency? [see 29 CFR §1614.102(c)(2)]   | YES                         |  | New                   |
| Compliance<br>Indicator<br>Measures | C.4 – The agency ensures effective coordination between its EEO programs and Human Resources (HR) program.   | Measure Met?<br>(Yes/No/NA) | Comments   |                       |
| C.4.a                               | Do the HR Director and the EEO Director meet regularly to assess<br>whether personnel programs, policies, and procedures conform to<br>EEOC laws, instructions, and management directives? [see 29 CFR<br>§1614.102(a)(2)]   | YES                         |  | New                   |
| C.4.b                               | Has the agency established timetables/schedules to review at regular<br>intervals its merit promotion program, employee recognition awards<br>program, employee development/training programs, and<br>management/personnel policies, procedures, and practices for systemic<br>barriers that may be impeding full participation in the program by all EEO<br>groups? [see MD-715 Instructions, Sec. I] | YES                         |  | C.2.a, C.2.b, & C.2.c |
| C.4.c                               | Does the EEO office have timely access to accurate and complete data (e.g., demographic data for workforce, applicants, training programs, etc.) required to prepare the MD-715 workforce data tables? [see 29 CFR §1614.601(a)]   | NO                          | See Part H Plan  | New                   |
| C.4.d                               | Does the HR office timely provide the EEO office with access to other data (e.g., exit interview data, climate assessment surveys, and grievance data), upon request? [see MD-715, II(C)]  | YES                         |  | New                   |
| C.4.e                               | Pursuant to Section II(C) of MD-715, does the EEO office collaborate with the HR office to:  |                             |  |                       |
| C.4.e.1                             | Implement the Affirmative Action Plan for Individuals with Disabilities?<br>[see 29 CFR §1614.203(d); MD-715, II(C)]   | YES                         |  | New                   |

| C.4.e.2                             | Develop and/or conduct outreach and recruiting initiatives? [see MD-<br>715, II(C)]  | YES                         |                    | New    |
|-------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|--------------------|--------|
| C.4.e.3                             | Develop and/or provide training for managers and employees? [see MD-715, II(C)]  | YES                         |                    | New    |
| C.4.e.4                             | Identify and remove barriers to equal opportunity in the workplace? [see MD-715, II(C)]  | YES                         |                    | New    |
| C.4.e.5                             | Assist in preparing the MD-715 report? [see MD-715, II(C)]   | YES                         |                    | New    |
| Compliance<br>Indicator             | C.5 – Following a finding of discrimination, the agency explores whether it should take a disciplinary action.   | Measure Met?<br>(Yes/No/NA) | Comments           |        |
| Measures<br>C.5.a                   | Does the agency have a disciplinary policy and/or table of penalties that covers discriminatory conduct? [see 29 CFR § 1614.102(a)(6); see also Douglas v. Veterans Administration, 5 MSPR 280 (1981)]   | YES                         |                    | C.3.a. |
| C.5.b                               | When appropriate, does the agency discipline or sanction managers and<br>employees for discriminatory conduct? [see 29 CFR §1614.102(a)(6)] If<br>"yes", please state the number of disciplined/sanctioned individuals<br>during this reporting period in the comments.  | YES                         | 2                  | C.3.c  |
| C.5.c                               | If the agency has a finding of discrimination (or settles cases in which a finding was likely), does the agency inform managers and supervisors about the discriminatory conduct? [see MD-715, II(C)]  | YES                         |                    | New    |
| Compliance<br>Indicator<br>Measures | C.6 – The EEO office advises managers/supervisors on EEO matters.  | Measure Met?<br>(Yes/No/NA) | Comments           |        |
| C.6.a                               | Does the EEO office provide management/supervisory officials with<br>regular EEO updates on at least an annual basis, including EEO<br>complaints, workforce demographics and data summaries, legal<br>updates, barrier analysis plans, and special emphasis updates? [see<br>MD-715 Instructions, Sec. I] If "yes", please identify the frequency of the<br>EEO updates in the comments column. | YES                         | Annually/Quarterly | C.1.a  |

| C.6.b                               | Are EEO officials readily available to answer managers' and supervisors' questions or concerns? [see MD-715 Instructions, Sec. I]   | YES                         |                           | New |
|-------------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-----|
| This element re                     | Essential Element D: PROACTIVE PREVENTIO<br>equires that the agency head make early efforts to prevent discrimination<br>equal employment opportunity.  |                             | nd eliminate barriers to  |     |
| Compliance<br>Indicator             | D.1 – The agency conducts a reasonable assessment to monitor<br>progress towards achieving equal employment opportunity<br>throughout the year.   | Measure Met?<br>(Yes/No/NA) | Comments                  |     |
| D.1.a                               | Does the agency have a process for identifying triggers in the workplace? [see MD-715 Instructions, Sec. I]   | YES                         |                           | New |
| D.1.b                               | Does the agency regularly use the following sources of information for<br>trigger identification: workforce data; complaint/grievance data; exit<br>surveys; employee climate surveys; focus groups; affinity groups; union;<br>program evaluations; special emphasis programs; reasonable<br>accommodation program; anti-harassment program; and/or external<br>special interest groups? [see MD-715 Instructions, Sec. I] | YES                         |                           | New |
| D.1.c                               | Does the agency conduct exit interviews or surveys that include<br>questions on how the agency could improve the recruitment, hiring,<br>inclusion, retention and advancement of individuals with disabilities?<br>[see 29 CFR 1614.203(d)(1)(iii)(C)]  | NO                          | See Part H Plan           | New |
| Compliance<br>Indicator<br>Measures | D.2 – The agency identifies areas where barriers may exclude EEO groups (reasonable basis to act.)  | Measure Met?<br>(Yes/No/NA) | Comments<br>New Indicator |     |
| D.2.a                               | Does the agency have a process for analyzing the identified triggers to find possible barriers? [see MD-715, (II)(B)]   | YES                         |                           | New |

| D.2.b                               | Does the agency regularly examine the impact of management/personnel policies, procedures, and practices by race, national origin, sex, and disability? [see 29 CFR §1614.102(a)(3)]   | YES                         |  | B.2.c.2 |
|-------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|--|---------|
| D.2.c                               | Does the agency consider whether any group of employees or<br>applicants might be negatively impacted prior to making human resource<br>decisions, such as re-organizations and realignments? [see 29 CFR<br>§1614.102(a)(3)]  | NO                          | See Part H Plan  | B.2.c.1 |
| D.2.d                               | Does the agency regularly review the following sources of information to<br>find barriers: complaint/grievance data, exit surveys, employee climate<br>surveys, focus groups, affinity groups, union, program evaluations, anti-<br>harassment program, special emphasis programs, reasonable<br>accommodation program; anti-harassment program; and/or external<br>special interest groups? [see MD-715 Instructions, Sec. I] If "yes",<br>please identify the data sources in the comments column. | YES                         | Complaints data,<br>climate surveys,<br>affinity groups,<br>program evaluations. | New     |
| Compliance<br>Indicator<br>Measures | D.3 – The agency establishes appropriate action plans to remove identified barriers.   | Measure Met?<br>(Yes/No/NA) | Comments<br>New Indicator  |         |
| D.3.a.                              | Does the agency effectively tailor action plans to address the identified barriers, in particular policies, procedures, or practices? [see 29 CFR §1614.102(a)(3)]   | YES                         |  | New     |
| D.3.b                               | If the agency identified one or more barriers during the reporting period,<br>did the agency implement a plan in Part I, including meeting the target<br>dates for the planned activities? [see MD-715, II(D)]   | YES                         |  | New     |
| D.3.c                               | Does the agency periodically review the effectiveness of the plans? [see MD-715, II(D)]  | YES                         |  | New     |
| Compliance<br>Indicator<br>Measures | D.4 – The agency has an affirmative action plan for people with disabilities, including those with targeted disabilities.  | Measure Met?<br>(Yes/No/NA) | Comments<br>New Indicator  |         |

| D.4.a                   | Does the agency post its affirmative action plan on its public website?<br>[see 29 CFR 1614.203(d)(4)] Please provide the internet address in the comments.  | YES                         | https://www.eeo.noaa.<br>gov/management_dire<br>ctive_715/MD715-<br>FY%202017-<br>Final%20for%20Web<br>%205142018.pdf | New     |
|-------------------------|--|-----------------------------|---|---------|
| D.4.b                   | Does the agency take specific steps to ensure qualified people with disabilities are aware of and encouraged to apply for job vacancies? [see 29 CFR 1614.203(d)(1)(i)]  | YES                         |   | New     |
| D.4.c                   | Does the agency ensure that disability-related questions from members<br>of the public are answered promptly and correctly? [see 29 CFR<br>1614.203(d)(1)(ii)(A)]  | YES                         |   | New     |
| D.4.d                   | Has the agency taken specific steps that are reasonably designed to increase the number of persons with disabilities or targeted disabilities employed at the agency until it meets the goals? [see 29 CFR 1614.203(d)(7)(ii)] | YES                         |   | New     |
| This element re         | Essential Element E: EFFICIENCY<br>quires the agency head to ensure that there are effective systems for eva<br>the agency's EEO programs and an efficient and fair dispute res  | olution process.            |   |         |
| Compliance<br>Indicator | E.1 - The agency maintains an efficient, fair, and impartial complaint resolution process.   | Measure Met?<br>(Yes/No/NA) | Comments  |         |
| E.1.a                   | Does the agency timely provide EEO counseling, pursuant to 29 CFR §1614.105?   | NO                          | 91% Timely; See Part<br>H Plan  | E.3.a.1 |
| E.1.b                   | Does the agency provide written notification of rights and responsibilities<br>in the EEO process during the initial counseling session, pursuant to 29<br>CFR §1614.105(b)(1)?  | YES                         |   | E.3.a.2 |
| E.1.c                   | Does the agency issue acknowledgment letters immediately upon receipt of a formal complaint, pursuant to MD-110, Ch. 5(I)?   | N/A                         | This is managed by<br>the DOC Office of Civil<br>Rights.  | New     |

| E.1.d | Does the agency issue acceptance letters/dismissal decisions within a reasonable time (e.g., 60 days) after receipt of the written EEO   | N/A | This is managed by the DOC Office of Civil               | New     |
|-------|--|-----|--|---------|
|       | Counselor report, pursuant to MD-110, Ch. 5(I)? If so, please provide the average processing time in the comments.   |     | Rights.  |         |
| E.1.e | Does the agency ensure all employees fully cooperate with EEO counselors and EEO personnel in the EEO process, including granting routine access to personnel records related to an investigation, pursuant to 29 CFR §1614.102(b)(6)?                   | YES |  | New     |
| E.1.f | Does the agency timely complete investigations, pursuant to 29 CFR §1614.108?  | N/A | This is managed by<br>the DOC Office of Civil<br>Rights. | E.3.a.3 |
| E.1.g | If the agency does not timely complete investigations, does the agency<br>notify complainants of the date by which the investigation will be<br>completed and of their right to request a hearing or file a lawsuit,<br>pursuant to 29 CFR §1614.108(g)? | N/A | This is managed by<br>the DOC Office of Civil<br>Rights. | New     |
| E.1.h | When the complainant does not request a hearing, does the agency timely issue the final agency decision, pursuant to 29 CFR §1614.110(b)?  | N/A | This is managed by<br>the DOC Office of Civil<br>Rights. | E.3.a.4 |
| E.1.i | Does the agency timely issue final actions following receipt of the hearing file and the administrative judge's decision, pursuant to 29 CFR §1614.110(a)?   | N/A | This is managed by<br>the DOC Office of Civil<br>Rights. | E.3.a.7 |
| E.1.j | If the agency uses contractors to implement any stage of the EEO complaint process, does the agency hold them accountable for poor work product and/or delays? [See MD-110, Ch. 5(V)(A)] If "yes", please describe how in the comments column.           | N/A | This is managed by<br>the DOC Office of Civil<br>Rights. | E.2.c   |
| E.1.k | If the agency uses employees to implement any stage of the EEO complaint process, does the agency hold them accountable for poor work product and/or delays during performance review? [See MD-110, Ch. 5(V)(A)]   | YES |  | New     |
| E.1.I | Does the agency submit complaint files and other documents in the proper format to EEOC through the Federal Sector EEO Portal (FedSEP)? [See 29 CFR § 1614.403(g)]   | N/A | This is managed by<br>the DOC Office of Civil<br>Rights. | New     |

| Compliance<br>Indicator<br>Measures | E.2 – The agency has a neutral EEO process.   | Measure Met?<br>(Yes/No/NA) | Comments<br>Revised Indicator                            |       |
|-------------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|--|-------|
| E.2.a                               | Has the agency established a clear separation between its EEO complaint program and its defensive function? [see MD-110, Ch. 1(IV)(D)]  | YES                         |  | New   |
| E.2.b                               | When seeking legal sufficiency reviews, does the EEO office have<br>access to sufficient legal resources separate from the agency<br>representative? [see MD-110, Ch. 1(IV)(D)] If "yes", please identify the<br>source/location of the attorney who conducts the legal sufficiency review<br>in the comments column. | N/A                         | This is managed by<br>the DOC Office of Civil<br>Rights. | E.6.a |
| E.2.c                               | If the EEO office relies on the agency's defensive function to conduct the legal sufficiency review, is there a firewall between the reviewing attorney and the agency representative? [see MD-110, Ch. 1(IV)(D)]   | N/A                         | This is managed by<br>the DOC Office of Civil<br>Rights. | New   |
| E.2.d                               | Does the agency ensure that its agency representative does not intrude<br>upon EEO counseling, investigations, and final agency decisions? [see<br>MD-110, Ch. 1(IV)(D)]  | YES                         |  | E.6.b |
| E.2.e                               | If applicable, are processing time frames incorporated for the legal counsel's sufficiency review for timely processing of complaints? [see EEOC Report, <i>Attaining a Model Agency Program: Efficiency</i> (Dec. 1, 2004)]  | N/A                         | This is managed by<br>the DOC Office of Civil<br>Rights. | E.6.c |
| Compliance<br>Indicator<br>Measures | E.3 - The agency has established and encouraged the widespread use of a fair alternative dispute resolution (ADR) program.  | Measure Met?<br>(Yes/No/NA) | Comments   |       |
| E.3.a                               | Has the agency established an ADR program for use during both the pre-complaint and formal complaint stages of the EEO process? [see 29 CFR §1614.102(b)(2)]  | YES                         |  | E.4.a |
| E.3.b                               | Does the agency require managers and supervisors to participate in ADR once it has been offered? [see MD-715, II(A)(1)]   | YES                         |  | E.4.c |

| E.3.c                   | Does the agency encourage all employees to use ADR, where ADR is appropriate? [see MD-110, Ch. 3(IV)(C)]   | YES                         |  | D.2.a |
|-------------------------|--|-----------------------------|--|-------|
| E.3.d                   | Does the agency ensure a management official with settlement authority<br>is accessible during the dispute resolution process? [see MD-110, Ch.<br>3(III)(A)(9)]                           | YES                         |  | New   |
| E.3.e                   | Does the agency prohibit the responsible management official named in the dispute from having settlement authority? [see MD-110, Ch. 3(I)]   | NO                          | See Part H Plan                        | E.4.d |
| E.3.f                   | Does the agency annually evaluate the effectiveness of its ADR program? [see MD-110, Ch. 3(II)(D)]   | YES                         |  | New   |
| Compliance<br>Indicator | E.4 – The agency has effective and accurate data collection systems in place to evaluate its EEO program.  | Measure Met?<br>(Yes/No/NA) | Comments                               |       |
| E.4.a                   | Does the agency have systems in place to accurately collect, monitor, and analyze the following data:  | YES                         |  |       |
| E.4.a.1                 | Complaint activity, including the issues and bases of the complaints, the aggrieved individuals/complainants, and the involved management official? [see MD-715, II(E)]                    | YES                         |  | E.5.a |
| E.4.a.2                 | The race, national origin, sex, and disability status of agency<br>employees? [see 29 CFR §1614.601(a)]  | YES                         |  | E.5.c |
| E.4.a.3                 | Recruitment activities? [see MD-715, II(E)]  | YES                         |  | E.5.f |
| E.4.a.4                 | External and internal applicant flow data concerning the applicants' race, national origin, sex, and disability status? [see MD-715, II(E)]  | NO                          | Part H Plan C.4.c                      | New   |
| E.4.a.5                 | The processing of requests for reasonable accommodation? [29 CFR § 1614.203(d)(4)]   | YES                         |  | New   |
| E.4.a.6                 | The processing of complaints for the anti-harassment program? [see<br>EEOC Enforcement Guidance on Vicarious Employer Liability for<br>Unlawful Harassment by Supervisors (1999), § V.C.2] | YES                         |  | New   |
| E.4.b                   | Does the agency have a system in place to re-survey the workforce on a regular basis? [MD-715 Instructions, Sec. I]  | YES                         | Draft survey pending approval process. | New   |

| Compliance<br>Indicator<br>Measures                   | E.5 – The agency identifies and disseminates significant trends and best practices in its EEO program.   | Measure Met?<br>(Yes/No/NA)                               | Comments                           |                  |
|---|--|---|------------------------------------|------------------|
| E.5.a   | Does the agency monitor trends in its EEO program to determine<br>whether the agency is meeting its obligations under the statutes EEOC<br>enforces? [see MD-715, II(E)] If "yes", provide an example in the<br>comments.  | YES   |                                    | E.5.e            |
| E.5.b   | Does the agency review other agencies' best practices and adopt them,<br>where appropriate, to improve the effectiveness of its EEO program?<br>[see MD-715, II(E)] If "yes", provide an example in the comments.  | YES   | Created D&I Best<br>Practices List | E.5.g            |
| E.5.c   | Does the agency compare its performance in the EEO process to other federal agencies of similar size? [see MD-715, II(E)]  | NO  | See Part H Plan                    | E.3.a            |
|   | Essential Element F: RESPONSIVENESS AND LEGAL C<br>equires federal agencies to comply with EEO statutes and EEOC regulati<br>instructions.   | ions, policy guidar                                       |                                    |                  |
| This element re<br>Compliance<br>Indicator            | equires federal agencies to comply with EEO statutes and EEOC regulation   |   | nce, and other written<br>Comments |                  |
| Compliance  | equires federal agencies to comply with EEO statutes and EEOC regulations.         F.1 – The agency has processes in place to ensure timely and full compliance with EEOC Orders and settlement agreements.         Does the agency have a system of management controls to ensure that  | ions, policy guidar                                       |                                    | F.1.a            |
| Compliance<br>Indicator<br>Measures<br>F.1.a          | equires federal agencies to comply with EEO statutes and EEOC regulations.         F.1 – The agency has processes in place to ensure timely and full compliance with EEOC Orders and settlement agreements.         Does the agency have a system of management controls to ensure that its officials timely comply with EEOC orders/directives and final agency actions? [see 29 CFR §1614.102(e); MD-715, II(F)]   | ions, policy guidar<br>Measure Met?<br>(Yes/No/NA)<br>YES |                                    |                  |
| Compliance<br>ndicator<br>Measures                    | equires federal agencies to comply with EEO statutes and EEOC regulations.         F.1 – The agency has processes in place to ensure timely and full compliance with EEOC Orders and settlement agreements.         Does the agency have a system of management controls to ensure that its officials timely comply with EEOC orders/directives and final agency   | ions, policy guidar<br>Measure Met?<br>(Yes/No/NA)        |                                    | F.1.a<br>E.3.a.6 |
| Compliance<br>ndicator<br>Measures<br>F.1.a           | equires federal agencies to comply with EEO statutes and EEOC regulations.         F.1 – The agency has processes in place to ensure timely and full compliance with EEOC Orders and settlement agreements.         Does the agency have a system of management controls to ensure that its officials timely comply with EEOC orders/directives and final agency actions? [see 29 CFR §1614.102(e); MD-715, II(F)]         Does the agency have a system of management controls to ensure the timely, accurate, and complete compliance with resolutions/settlement agreements? [see MD-715, II(F)]         Are there procedures in place to ensure the timely and predictable | ions, policy guidar<br>Measure Met?<br>(Yes/No/NA)<br>YES |                                    |                  |
| Compliance<br>Indicator<br>Measures<br>F.1.a<br>F.1.b | equires federal agencies to comply with EEO statutes and EEOC regulations.         F.1 – The agency has processes in place to ensure timely and full compliance with EEOC Orders and settlement agreements.         Does the agency have a system of management controls to ensure that its officials timely comply with EEOC orders/directives and final agency actions? [see 29 CFR §1614.102(e); MD-715, II(F)]         Does the agency have a system of management controls to ensure the timely, accurate, and complete compliance with resolutions/settlement agreements? [see MD-715, II(F)]  | ions, policy guidar<br>Measure Met?<br>(Yes/No/NA)<br>YES |                                    | E.3.a.6          |

|                                     | product and/or delays during performance review? [see MD-110, Ch. 9(IX)(H)]  |                             |  |                |
|-------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|--|----------------|
| Compliance<br>Indicator<br>Measures | F.2 – The agency complies with the law, including EEOC regulations, management directives, orders, and other written instructions.   | Measure Met?<br>(Yes/No/NA) | Comments<br>Indicator moved<br>from E-III Revised        |                |
| F.2.a                               | Does the agency timely respond and fully comply with EEOC orders?<br>[see 29 CFR §1614.502; MD-715, II(E)]   | YES                         |  | C.3.d          |
| F.2.a.1                             | When a complainant requests a hearing, does the agency timely forward the investigative file to the appropriate EEOC hearing office? [see 29 CFR §1614.108(g)]                           | N/A                         | This is managed by<br>the DOC Office of Civil<br>Rights. | E.3.a.5        |
| F.2.a.2                             | When there is a finding of discrimination that is not the subject of an appeal by the agency, does the agency ensure timely compliance with the orders of relief? [see 29 CFR §1614.501] | YES                         |  | E.3.a.7        |
| F.2.a.3                             | When a complainant files an appeal, does the agency timely forward the investigative file to EEOC's Office of Federal Operations? [see 29 CFR §1614.403(e)]                              | N/A                         | This is managed by<br>the DOC Office of Civil<br>Rights. | New            |
| F.2.a.4                             | Pursuant to 29 CFR §1614.502, does the agency promptly provide<br>EEOC with the required documentation for completing compliance?  | N/A                         | This is managed by<br>the DOC Office of Civil<br>Rights. | F.3.d (1 to 9) |
| Compliance<br>Indicator<br>Measures | F.3 - The agency reports to EEOC its program efforts and accomplishments.  | Measure Met?<br>(Yes/No/NA) | Comments   |                |
| F.3.a                               | Does the agency timely submit to EEOC an accurate and complete No FEAR Act report? [Public Law 107-174 (May 15, 2002), §203(a)]  | N/A                         | This is managed by<br>the DOC Office of Civil<br>Rights. | New            |
| F.3.b                               | Does the agency timely post on its public webpage its quarterly No FEAR Act data? [see 29 CFR §1614.703(d)]  | YES                         |  | New            |

#### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

#### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

# MD-715 – Part H

## Agency EEO Plan to Attain the Essential Elements of a Model EEO Program

Please describe the status of each plan that the agency has implemented to correct deficiencies in the EEO program.

If the agency did not address any deficiencies during the reporting period, please check the box.

#### **Statement of Model Program Essential Element Deficiency**

| Type of Program<br>Deficiency | Brief Description of Program Deficiency  |  |
|-------------------------------|--|--|
| A.2.b.1                       | The business contact information for its EEO Counselors, EEO Officers,<br>Special Emphasis Program Managers, and EEO Director is not posted<br>throughout the workplace? [see 29 C.F.R § 1614.102(b)(7)] |  |

### **Objective(s) and Dates for EEO Plan**

| Date         | Objective  | Target       | Modified     | Date         |
|--------------|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Initiated    |  | Date         | Date         | Completed    |
| (mm/dd/yyyy) |  | (mm/dd/yyyy) | (mm/dd/yyyy) | (mm/dd/yyyy) |
| 12/2018      | Ensure EEO contact information is communicated to all employees. | 3/2019       |              |              |

| Title         | Name              | Performance<br>Standards<br>Address the<br>Plan?<br>(Yes or No) |
|---------------|-------------------|---|
| OICR Director | Kenneth M. Bailey | Yes   |

# FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

# Planned Activities Toward Completion of Objective

| Target<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Planned Activities  | Sufficient<br>Funding &<br>Staffing?<br>(Yes or No) | Modified<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Completion<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) |
|--------------------------------|---|---|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 3/2019                         | 2019 Develop posters with contact information for<br>EEO Counselors, SEPMs, and the OICR<br>Director. |   |                                  |                                    |
| 3/2019                         | 3/2019Disseminate poster at all NOAA facilities.  |   |                                  |                                    |

| Fiscal Year | Accomplishments |
|-------------|-----------------|
|             |                 |

#### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

## MD-715 – Part H Agency EEO Plan to Attain the Essential Elements of a Model EEO Program

Please describe the status of each plan that the agency has implemented to correct deficiencies in the EEO program.

If the agency did not address any deficiencies during the reporting period, please check the box.

#### **Statement of Model Program Essential Element Deficiency**

| Type of Program<br>Deficiency | Brief Description of Program Deficiency   |
|-------------------------------|---|
| A.2.c.5                       | All employees, supervisors, and managers have not been informed of the<br>penalties for being found to perpetrate discriminatory behavior or for<br>taking personnel actions based on a prohibited basis. |

#### **Objective(s) and Dates for EEO Plan**

| Date         | Objective  | Target       | Modified     | Date         |
|--------------|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Initiated    |  | Date         | Date         | Completed    |
| (mm/dd/yyyy) |  | (mm/dd/yyyy) | (mm/dd/yyyy) | (mm/dd/yyyy) |
| 11/2016      | Ensure that all employees,<br>supervisors/managers are aware of the<br>consequences for perpetrating discrimination. | 5/2018       |              | 11/2/2018    |

| Title                                  | Name              | Performance<br>Standards<br>Address the<br>Plan?<br>(Yes or No) |  |
|--|-------------------|---|--|
| OICR Director                          | Kenneth M. Bailey | Yes   |  |
| Director, Workforce Relations Division | Renee Desrosiers  | Yes   |  |

# FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

# Planned Activities Toward Completion of Objective

| Target<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Planned Activities  | Sufficient<br>Funding &<br>Staffing?<br>(Yes or No) | Modified<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Completion<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) |
|--------------------------------|---|---|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 3/2017                         | /2017 Draft updated EEO policy statement to<br>include language on penalties for<br>discrimination.   |   |                                  | 3/2017                             |
| 5/2018                         | Point |   |                                  | 11/2018                            |

| Fiscal Year | Accomplishments   |
|-------------|---|
| 2018/2019   | In September 2018, an updated EEO policy statement was finalized and then signed and issued to employees on November 2, 2018. |

#### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

## MD-715 – Part H Agency EEO Plan to Attain the Essential Elements of a Model EEO Program

Please describe the status of each plan that the agency has implemented to correct deficiencies in the EEO program.

If the agency did not address any deficiencies during the reporting period, please check the box.

#### Statement of Model Program Essential Element Deficiency

| Type of Program<br>Deficiency | Brief Description of Program Deficiency  |
|-------------------------------|--|
| B.1.a.2                       | The agency's organizational chart does not clearly define the reporting structure for the EEO office? [see 29 CFR §1614.102(b)(4)] |

# **Objective(s) and Dates for EEO Plan**

| Date                |   | Target       | Modified     | Date         |
|---------------------|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Initiated Objective |   | Date         | Date         | Completed    |
| (mm/dd/yyyy)        |   | (mm/dd/yyyy) | (mm/dd/yyyy) | (mm/dd/yyyy) |
| 12/2018             | An agency organizational chart that clearly defines the OICR reporting structure. | 3/2019       |              |              |

### Responsible Official(s)

| Title         | Name              | Performance<br>Standards<br>Address the<br>Plan?<br>(Yes or No) |  |
|---------------|-------------------|---|--|
| OICR Director | Kenneth M. Bailey | Yes   |  |

#### **Planned Activities Toward Completion of Objective**

| Target<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Planned Activities   | Sufficient<br>Funding &<br>Staffing?<br>(Yes or No) | Modified<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Completion<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) |
|--------------------------------|--|---|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 3/2019                         | Coordinate the posting of new chart on the agency website. | Yes   |                                  |                                    |

# FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

| Fiscal Year | Accomplishments   |
|-------------|---|
| 2019        | In December 2018, an updated organization chart was drafted to identify OICR's reporting structure. |

### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

# MD-715 – Part H Agency EEO Plan to Attain the Essential Elements of a Model EEO Program

Please describe the status of each plan that the agency has implemented to correct deficiencies in the EEO program.

If the agency did not address any deficiencies during the reporting period, please check the box.

| Type of Program<br>Deficiency | Brief Description of Program Deficiency   |
|-------------------------------|---|
| C.1.a<br>C.1.b<br>C.1.c       | <ul> <li>The agency does not regularly assess its component and field offices for possible EEO program deficiencies.</li> <li>The agency does not regularly assess its component and field offices on their efforts to remove barriers from the workplace.</li> <li>Efforts have not been made to conduct assessments and comply with recommendations.</li> </ul> |

### **Statement of Model Program Essential Element Deficiency**

## **Objective(s) and Dates for EEO Plan**

| Date         | Objective   | Target       | Modified     | Date         |
|--------------|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Initiated    |   | Date         | Date         | Completed    |
| (mm/dd/yyyy) |   | (mm/dd/yyyy) | (mm/dd/yyyy) | (mm/dd/yyyy) |
| 3/2019       | Regularly assess Line/Staff Offices for<br>possible EEO deficiencies, efforts to remove<br>barriers and to comply with OICR<br>recommendations. | 8/2019       |              |              |

### **Responsible Official(s)**

| Title         | Name              | Performance<br>Standards<br>Address the<br>Plan?<br>(Yes or No) |
|---------------|-------------------|---|
| OICR Director | Kenneth M. Bailey | Yes   |

**Planned Activities Toward Completion of Objective** 

# FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

| Target<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy)  | Planned Activities   | Sufficient<br>Funding &<br>Staffing?<br>(Yes or No) | Modified<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Completion<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) |
|---|--|---|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 3/2019 Coordinate with Line/Staff Offices to develop an annual assessment schedule. |  | Yes   |                                  |                                    |
| 5/2019  | Begin assessing component and field offices.                             | Yes   |                                  |                                    |
| 8/2019  | Develop actions plans and monitor efforts to address known deficiencies. | Yes   |                                  |                                    |

| Fiscal Year | Accomplishments |
|-------------|-----------------|
|             |                 |

#### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

## MD-715 – Part H Agency EEO Plan to Attain the Essential Elements of a Model EEO Program

Please describe the status of each plan that the agency has implemented to correct deficiencies in the EEO program.

If the agency did not address any deficiencies during the reporting period, please check the box.

#### **Statement of Model Program Essential Element Deficiency**

| Type of Program<br>Deficiency | Brief Description of Program Deficiency  |
|-------------------------------|--|
| C.2.a.6                       | Anti-harassment training materials do not include examples of disability-<br>based harassment. |

# **Objective(s) and Dates for EEO Plan**

| Date      | -  | Target       | Modified     | Date         |
|-----------|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Initiateo |  | Date         | Date         | Completed    |
| (mm/dd/yy |  | (mm/dd/yyyy) | (mm/dd/yyyy) | (mm/dd/yyyy) |
| 3/2019    | Review and update all anti-harassment<br>training materials to include examples of<br>disability-based harassment. | 6/2019       |              |              |

| Title                                    | Name            | Performance<br>Standards<br>Address the<br>Plan?<br>(Yes or No) |
|--|-----------------|---|
| Director, Workforce Management<br>Office | Kimberlyn Bauhs | Yes   |

# FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

# Planned Activities Toward Completion of Objective

| Target<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy)Planned Activities4/2019Inform all training partners that Anti-<br>harassment training materials must include<br>examples of disability-based harassment. |   | Sufficient<br>Funding &<br>Staffing?<br>(Yes or No) | Modified<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Completion<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) |
|---|---|---|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
|   |   | Yes   |                                  |                                    |
| 6/2019  | Review and update all Anti-harassment training materials. | Yes   |                                  |                                    |

| Fiscal Year | Accomplishments |
|-------------|-----------------|
|             |                 |

### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

### Agency EEO Plan to Attain the Essential Elements of a Model EEO Program

Please describe the status of each plan that the agency has implemented to correct deficiencies in the EEO program.

If the agency did not address any deficiencies during the reporting period, please check the box.

#### **Statement of Model Program Essential Element Deficiency**

| Type of Program<br>Deficiency | Brief Description of Program Deficiency   |  |
|-------------------------------|---|--|
| C.2.b.5                       | All accommodation requests are not processed within the timeframe set forth in the reasonable accommodation procedures. |  |

## **Objective(s) and Dates for EEO Plan**

| Date<br>Initiated<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Objective   | Target<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Modified<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Date<br>Completed<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) |
|-----------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 1/2019                            | Process all accommodation requests within<br>the timeframe set forth in the reasonable<br>accommodation procedures. | 9/2019                         |                                  |                                   |

### **Responsible Official(s)**

| Title                                    | Name            | Performance<br>Standards<br>Address the<br>Plan?<br>(Yes or No) |  |
|--|-----------------|---|--|
| Director, Workforce Management<br>Office | Kimberlyn Bauhs | Yes   |  |

### **Planned Activities Toward Completion of Objective**

| Target<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Planned Activities   | Sufficient<br>Funding &<br>Staffing?<br>(Yes or No) | Modified<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Completion<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) |  |
|--------------------------------|--|---|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| 9/2019                         | Disseminate a broadcast message to supervisors and managers requesting that they | Yes   |                                  |                                    |  |

# FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

| Target<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Planned Activities   | Sufficient<br>Funding &<br>Staffing?<br>(Yes or No) | Modified<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Completion<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) |
|--------------------------------|--|---|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
|                                | take the online training on reasonable accommodation and the interactive process.                  |   |                                  |                                    |
| 9/2019                         | Reasonable Accommodation Coordinators<br>(RACs) will conduct reasonable<br>accommodation webinars. | Yes   |                                  |                                    |

| Fiscal Year | Accomplishments |
|-------------|-----------------|
|             |                 |

### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

### Agency EEO Plan to Attain the Essential Elements of a Model EEO Program

Please describe the status of each plan that the agency has implemented to correct deficiencies in the EEO program.

If the agency did not address any deficiencies during the reporting period, please check the box.

| Type of Program<br>Deficiency | Brief Description of Program Deficiency  |  |
|-------------------------------|--|--|
| C.4.c<br>E.4.a.4              | The EEO Office does not have timely access to accurate and complete external and internal applicant flow data to prepare all MD-715 workforce data tables and complete the barrier analysis process. |  |

### **Statement of Model Program Essential Element Deficiency**

## **Objective(s) and Dates for EEO Plan**

| Date         | Objective   | Target       | Modified     | Date         |
|--------------|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Initiated    |   | Date         | Date         | Completed    |
| (mm/dd/yyyy) |   | (mm/dd/yyyy) | (mm/dd/yyyy) | (mm/dd/yyyy) |
| 3/2019       | Regularly review data systems to verify the availability of accurate/complete data for all MD-715 data tables and the barrier analysis process. | 7/2019       |              |              |

| Title                                    | Name              | Performance<br>Standards<br>Address the<br>Plan?<br>(Yes or No) |
|--|-------------------|---|
| Director, Workforce Management<br>Office | Kimberlyn Bauhs   | Yes   |
| OICR Director                            | Kenneth M .Bailey | Yes   |

# FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

# Planned Activities Toward Completion of Objective

| Target<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Planned Activities  | Sufficient<br>Funding &<br>Staffing?<br>(Yes or No) | Modified<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Completion<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) |
|--------------------------------|---|---|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 4/2019                         | Discuss solutions to ongoing issues with accurate/complete internal & external applicant flow data with WFMO.                         | Yes   |                                  |                                    |
| 7/2019                         | Initiate a quarterly review of data to ensure<br>feasibility of complete workforce data tables<br>and progress with barrier analysis. | Yes   |                                  |                                    |

| Fiscal Year | Accomplishments |
|-------------|-----------------|
|             |                 |

### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

## MD-715 – Part H Agency EEO Plan to Attain the Essential Elements of a Model EEO Program

Please describe the status of each plan that the agency has implemented to correct deficiencies in the EEO program.

If the agency did not address any deficiencies during the reporting period, please check the box.

#### **Statement of Model Program Essential Element Deficiency**

| Type of Program<br>Deficiency | Brief Description of Program Deficiency  |
|-------------------------------|--|
| C.5.b                         | The agency, when appropriate, has not disciplined or sanctioned managers/supervisors or employees found to have discriminated over the past two years. |

#### **Objective(s) and Dates for EEO Plan**

| Date         | Objective  | Target       | Modified     | Date         |
|--------------|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Initiated    |  | Date         | Date         | Completed    |
| (mm/dd/yyyy) |  | (mm/dd/yyyy) | (mm/dd/yyyy) | (mm/dd/yyyy) |
| 1/2018       | Ensure that Discipline Policy-DAO-202-751,<br>is equitably implemented for those found to<br>have perpetrated discriminatory behavior or<br>for taking personnel actions based on a<br>prohibited basis. | 6/2018       |              | 11/2/2018    |

| Title                                    | Name              | Performance<br>Standards<br>Address the<br>Plan?<br>(Yes or No) |
|--|-------------------|---|
| OICR Director                            | Kenneth M. Bailey | Yes   |
| Director, Workforce Management<br>Office | Kimberlyn Bauhs   | Yes   |

# FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

| Target<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Planned Activities  | Sufficient<br>Funding &<br>Staffing?<br>(Yes or No) | Modified<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Completion<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) |
|--------------------------------|---|---|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 5/2018                         | OICR will finalize updated EEO policy<br>statement to include penalties for<br>discrimination.  | Yes   |                                  | 5/2018                             |
| 6/2018                         | OICR/WFMO will monitor findings of<br>discrimination and work with AAs/DAAs to<br>reiterate policies and communicate possible<br>corrective/disciplinary actions. | Yes   |                                  | 6/2018                             |

# Planned Activities Toward Completion of Objective

| Fiscal Year   | Accomplishments |
|---|-----------------|
| 2018/2019In September 2018, an updated EEO policy statement was finalized at<br>then signed and issued to employees on November 2, 2018.2018The OICR Director is on the Disciplinary Review Panel for EEO-rela<br>issues. |                 |

#### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

# MD-715 – Part H Agency EEO Plan to Attain the Essential Elements of a Model EEO Program

Please describe the status of each plan that the agency has implemented to correct deficiencies in the EEO program.

If the agency did not address any deficiencies during the reporting period, please check the box.

### **Statement of Model Program Essential Element Deficiency**

| Type of Program<br>Deficiency | Brief Description of Program Deficiency  |
|-------------------------------|--|
| D.1.c                         | The agency does not conduct exit interviews or surveys that include questions on how the agency could improve the recruitment, hiring, inclusion, retention and advancement of individuals with disabilities.[see 29 CFR 1614.203(d)(1)(iii)(C)] |

#### **Objective(s) and Dates for EEO Plan**

| Date         | Objective   | Target       | Modified     | Date         |
|--------------|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Initiated    |   | Date         | Date         | Completed    |
| (mm/dd/yyyy) |   | (mm/dd/yyyy) | (mm/dd/yyyy) | (mm/dd/yyyy) |
| 3/2019       | Conduct exit interviews that include<br>questions relating to recruitment, hiring,<br>inclusion, retention and advance of<br>individuals with disabilities. | 9/2019       |              |              |

| Title                                    | Name              | Performance<br>Standards<br>Address the<br>Plan?<br>(Yes or No) |  |
|--|-------------------|---|--|
| Director, Workforce Management<br>Office | Kimberlyn Bauhs   | Yes   |  |
| OICR Director                            | Kenneth M. Bailey | No  |  |

## FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

# Planned Activities Toward Completion of Objective

| Target<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Planned Activities  | Sufficient<br>Funding &<br>Staffing?<br>(Yes or No) | Modified<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Completion<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) |
|--------------------------------|---|---|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 4/2019                         | OICR will initiate discussion with Line/Staff<br>Office EEO and HR point of contacts. | Yes   |                                  |                                    |
| 6/2019                         | Collect Best Practices from other agencies on implementation of the process.          | Yes   |                                  |                                    |
| 8/2019                         | Draft Exit Interview/Survey questions.  | Yes   |                                  |                                    |
| 9/2019                         | Get Sr. Leadership feedback & incorporate changes.                                    | Yes   |                                  |                                    |
| 9/2019                         | Finalize product for agency-wide use.   | Yes   |                                  |                                    |

| Fiscal Year | Accomplishments |
|-------------|-----------------|
|             |                 |
|             |                 |

#### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

# MD-715 – Part H Agency EEO Plan to Attain the Essential Elements of a Model EEO Program

Please describe the status of each plan that the agency has implemented to correct deficiencies in the EEO program.

If the agency did not address any deficiencies during the reporting period, please check the box.

#### **Statement of Model Program Essential Element Deficiency**

| Type of Program<br>Deficiency | Brief Description of Program Deficiency   |
|-------------------------------|---|
| D.2.c                         | The agency does not consider whether any group of employees or<br>applicants might be negatively impacted prior to making human resource<br>decisions, such as re-organizations and realignments? [see 29 CFR<br>§1614.102(a)(3)] |

#### **Objective(s) and Dates for EEO Plan**

| Date         | Objective  | Target       | Modified     | Date         |
|--------------|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Initiated    |  | Date         | Date         | Completed    |
| (mm/dd/yyyy) |  | (mm/dd/yyyy) | (mm/dd/yyyy) | (mm/dd/yyyy) |
| 3/2019       | Strategize possible negative impacts of<br>human resources decisions, prior to final<br>decisions. | 9/2019       |              |              |

| Title   | Name              | Performance<br>Standards<br>Address the<br>Plan?<br>(Yes or No) |
|---|-------------------|---|
| Line Office Deputy Assistant<br>Administrators & Staff Office Deputy<br>Directors |                   | Yes   |
| Director, Workforce Management<br>Office  | Kimberlyn Bauhs   | Yes   |
| OICR Director   | Kenneth M. Bailey | No  |

# FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

# Planned Activities Toward Completion of Objective

| Target<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Planned Activities   | Sufficient<br>Funding &<br>Staffing?<br>(Yes or No) | Modified<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Completion<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) |
|--------------------------------|--|---|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 4/2019                         | The OICR Director will initiate a meeting<br>with responsible officials to discuss feasible<br>steps to accomplishing the objective. | Yes   |                                  |                                    |
|                                |  |   |                                  |                                    |
|                                |  |   |                                  |                                    |

| Fiscal Year | Accomplishments |
|-------------|-----------------|
|             |                 |

#### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

## MD-715 – Part H Agency EEO Plan to Attain the Essential Elements of a Model EEO Program

Please describe the status of each plan that the agency has implemented to correct deficiencies in the EEO program.

If the agency did not address any deficiencies during the reporting period, please check the box.

### **Statement of Model Program Essential Element Deficiency**

| Type of Program<br>Deficiency | Brief Description of Program Deficiency   |  |
|-------------------------------|---|--|
| E.1.a                         | The agency does not provide timely EEO counseling within 30 days of<br>the initial request or within an agreed upon extension in writing, up to 60<br>days to all participants. |  |

### **Objective(s) and Dates for EEO Plan**

| Date         | Objective  | Target       | Modified     | Date         |
|--------------|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Initiated    |  | Date         | Date         | Completed    |
| (mm/dd/yyyy) |  | (mm/dd/yyyy) | (mm/dd/yyyy) | (mm/dd/yyyy) |
| 11/2016      | Provide EEO counseling within the<br>regulatory timeframes established by EEOC,<br>Management Directive (MD) 110 to all<br>participants. | 12/2017      | 9/2019       |              |

| Title         | Name              | Performance<br>Standards<br>Address the<br>Plan?<br>(Yes or No) |
|---------------|-------------------|---|
| OICR Director | Kenneth M. Bailey | Yes   |

# FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

# Planned Activities Toward Completion of Objective

| Target<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Planned Activities  | Sufficient<br>Funding &<br>Staffing?<br>(Yes or No) | Modified<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Completion<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) |
|--------------------------------|---|---|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 1/2017                         | The OICR will use iComplaints as a tracking<br>mechanism for EEO counselor use to<br>determine timeframes throughout the<br>counseling process. | Yes   |                                  | 1/2017                             |
| 2/2019                         | Develop and use positive incentives for EEO<br>Counselors conducting timely EEO<br>Counseling.  | Yes   |                                  |                                    |

| Fiscal Year | Accomplishments  |
|-------------|--|
| 2018        | OICR used iComplaints to regularly track and provide notifications to EEO Specialist on needed case updates; attaining a 91% timely processing rate. |
|             |  |

#### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

## MD-715 – Part H Agency EEO Plan to Attain the Essential Elements of a Model EEO Program

Please describe the status of each plan that the agency has implemented to correct deficiencies in the EEO program.

If the agency did not address any deficiencies during the reporting period, please check the box.

#### Statement of Model Program Essential Element Deficiency

| Type of Program<br>Deficiency | Brief Description of Program Deficiency  |  |
|-------------------------------|--|--|
| E.3                           | The agency does not require managers/supervisors to receive ADR training in accordance with EEOC (29 CFR Part 1614). |  |

## **Objective(s) and Dates for EEO Plan**

| Date         | Objective   | Target       | Modified     | Date         |
|--------------|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Initiated    |   | Date         | Date         | Completed    |
| (mm/dd/yyyy) |   | (mm/dd/yyyy) | (mm/dd/yyyy) | (mm/dd/yyyy) |
| 1/2018       | Provide mandatory ADR training to managers/supervisor at regular intervals. | 6/2018       | 6/2019       |              |

| Title                                    | Name            | Performance<br>Standards<br>Address the<br>Plan?<br>(Yes or No) |
|--|-----------------|---|
| Director, Workforce Management<br>Office | Kimberlyn Bauhs | Yes   |

# FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

| Target<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Date Planned Activities  |     | Modified<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Completion<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) |
|--------------------------------|--|-----|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 2/2017                         | WFMO will determine the agency's ADR training needs NOAA-wide.   | Yes |                                  | 2/2017                             |
| 2/2018                         | Develop NOAA-wide broadcast explaining<br>ADR and the Agency's mandatory training<br>requirement for managers and supervisors. | Yes | 4/2019                           |                                    |
| 4/2018                         | Develop schedule to provide ADR training to supervisors/managers   | Yes | 6/2019                           |                                    |

# **Planned Activities Toward Completion of Objective**

| Fiscal Year | Accomplishments  |
|-------------|--|
| 2017        | Determined that mandatory training was necessary bureau-wide.              |
| 2018        | Continuing coordination with WFMO to transition EEO-related ADR's to OICR. |

### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

## MD-715 – Part H

# Agency EEO Plan to Attain the Essential Elements of a Model EEO Program

Please describe the status of each plan that the agency has implemented to correct deficiencies in the EEO program.

If the agency did not address any deficiencies during the reporting period, please check the box.

### **Statement of Model Program Essential Element Deficiency**

| Type of Program<br>Deficiency | Brief Description of Program Deficiency   |  |
|-------------------------------|---|--|
|                               | • The participation of supervisors and managers in the ADR process is not required.   |  |
| E.3.b                         | • After the agency has offered ADR and the complainant has elected to participate in ADR, the manager is not required to participate. |  |

### **Objective(s) and Dates for EEO Plan**

| Date         | Objective   | Target       | Modified     | Date         |
|--------------|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Initiated    |   | Date         | Date         | Completed    |
| (mm/dd/yyyy) |   | (mm/dd/yyyy) | (mm/dd/yyyy) | (mm/dd/yyyy) |
| 1/2015       | Ensure that whenever ADR is offered, all appropriate managers participate in the ADR process. | 6/2018       |              | 11/2/2018    |

| Title                                    | Name              | Performance<br>Standards<br>Address the<br>Plan?<br>(Yes or No) |
|--|-------------------|---|
| OICR Director                            | Kenneth M. Bailey | Yes   |
| Director, Workforce Management<br>Office | Kimberlyn Bauhs   | Yes   |

# FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

| Target<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Planned Activities  | Sufficient<br>Funding &<br>Staffing?<br>(Yes or No) | Modified<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Completion<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) |
|--------------------------------|---|---|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 3/2018                         | Work with DOC & NOAA leadership to<br>identify positive & negative impacts of<br>mandatory ADR participation by managers<br>and supervisors.                            | Yes   |                                  | 5/2018                             |
| 6/2018                         | Encourage managers & supervisors to<br>participate in the ADR process by providing<br>training to ensure understanding and benefits<br>of early resolution of disputes. | Yes   |                                  | 6/2018                             |

# **Planned Activities Toward Completion of Objective**

| Fiscal Year  | Accomplishments  |  |
|--|--|--|
| 2018/2019 In September 2018, an updated EEO policy statement was finalized at then signed and issued to employees on November 2, 2018. |  |  |
| 2018   | The updated/signed EEO Policy Statement requires all managers & supervisors to participant in ADR mediation when elected by the Complainant. |  |

### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

# MD-715 – Part H

# Agency EEO Plan to Attain the Essential Elements of a Model EEO Program

Please describe the status of each plan that the agency has implemented to correct deficiencies in the EEO program.

If the agency did not address any deficiencies during the reporting period, please check the box.

## Statement of Model Program Essential Element Deficiency

| Type of Program<br>Deficiency | Brief Description of Program Deficiency  |
|-------------------------------|--|
| E.3.e                         | There are no measures to ensure that responsible management officials<br>involved in a complaint do not make the final decision when declining<br>participation in ADR, and do not serve as the person with settlement<br>authority during ADR, per EEOC Management Directive 110, Chapter<br>3.III.A. |

## **Objective(s) and Dates for EEO Plan**

| Date<br>Initiated<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Objective  | Target<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Modified<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Date<br>Completed<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) |
|-----------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 11/2016                           | An ADR policy that ensures that another<br>level of management is sought when the<br>responsible management official declines to<br>participate in ADR, and ensures that the<br>responsible management official does not<br>serve as the person with settlement authority. | 6/2018                         | 6/2019                           |                                   |

| Title                                    | Name            | Performance<br>Standards<br>Address the<br>Plan?<br>(Yes or No) |
|--|-----------------|---|
| Director, Workforce Management<br>Office | Kimberlyn Bauhs | Yes   |

# FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

# Planned Activities Toward Completion of Objective

| Target<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Planned Activities  | Sufficient<br>Funding &<br>Staffing?<br>(Yes or No) | Modified<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Completion<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) |
|--------------------------------|---|---|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 3/2018                         | Update current ADR policy/process to ensure<br>compliance with EEOC Management<br>Directive 110.                    | Yes   | 4/2019                           |                                    |
| 6/2018                         | Communicate new policy procedures and<br>incorporate process in mandatory ADR<br>training for managers/supervisors. | Yes   | 6/2019                           |                                    |

| Fiscal Year | Accomplishments |
|-------------|-----------------|
|             |                 |

#### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

## MD-715 – Part H Agency EEO Plan to Attain the Essential Elements of a Model EEO Program

Please describe the status of each plan that the agency has implemented to correct deficiencies in the EEO program.

If the agency did not address any deficiencies during the reporting period, please check the box.

#### **Statement of Model Program Essential Element Deficiency**

| Type of Program<br>Deficiency | Brief Description of Program Deficiency   |  |
|-------------------------------|---|--|
| E.4.a.3                       | The agency does not track recruitment efforts and analyze efforts to identify potential barriers in accordance with MD-715 standards. |  |

# **Objective(s) and Dates for EEO Plan**

| Date         | Objective   | Target       | Modified     | Date         |
|--------------|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Initiated    |   | Date         | Date         | Completed    |
| (mm/dd/yyyy) |   | (mm/dd/yyyy) | (mm/dd/yyyy) | (mm/dd/yyyy) |
| 11/2016      | Regularly collect and analyze recruitment<br>efforts to identify and address potential<br>barriers. | 6/2018       | 6/2019       |              |

| Title                                    | Name              | Performance<br>Standards<br>Address the<br>Plan?<br>(Yes or No) |
|--|-------------------|---|
| OICR Director                            | Kenneth M. Bailey | Yes   |
| Director, Workforce Management<br>Office | Kimberlyn Bauhs   | Yes   |

# FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

| Target<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Planned Activities   | Sufficient<br>Funding &<br>Staffing?<br>(Yes or No) | Modified<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Completion<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) |
|--------------------------------|--|---|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 1/2017                         | Develop a schedule to collect recruitment data.                          | Yes   |                                  | 1/2017                             |
| 2/2017                         | Assign personnel to conduct analysis and Yes ddress identified barriers. |   |                                  | 2/2017                             |
| 3/2018                         | Identify ongoing/new recruitment efforts for<br>Line/Staff Offices. Yes  |   | 3/2018                           |                                    |
| 6/2018                         | Incorporate findings in EEO Program updates to senior leaders.           | Yes   | 6/2019                           |                                    |

### **Planned Activities Toward Completion of Objective**

| Fiscal Year | Accomplishments  |
|-------------|--|
| 2017        | WFMO & OICR agreed to collect and analyze data quarterly.                                |
| 2017        | Decided that OICR staff would conduct analysis of data to identify triggers/barriers.    |
| 2018        | Met with Line/Staff Offices to identify recruitment efforts and collaboration with OICR. |

#### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

## MD-715 – Part H Agency EEO Plan to Attain the Essential Elements of a Model EEO Program

Please describe the status of each plan that the agency has implemented to correct deficiencies in the EEO program.

If the agency did not address any deficiencies during the reporting period, please check the box.

#### **Statement of Model Program Essential Element Deficiency**

| Type of Program<br>Deficiency | Brief Description of Program Deficiency   |
|-------------------------------|---|
| E.5.c                         | The agency does not compare its performance in the EEO process to other federal agencies of similar size. |

## **Objective(s) and Dates for EEO Plan**

| Date         | Objective   | Target       | Modified     | Date         |
|--------------|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Initiated    |   | Date         | Date         | Completed    |
| (mm/dd/yyyy) |   | (mm/dd/yyyy) | (mm/dd/yyyy) | (mm/dd/yyyy) |
| 4/2019       | Regularly seek best practices from similar agencies to improve EEO process performance. | 8/2019       |              |              |

| Title         | Name              | Performance<br>Standards<br>Address the<br>Plan?<br>(Yes or No) |
|---------------|-------------------|---|
| OICR Director | Kenneth M. Bailey | Yes   |

# FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

# Planned Activities Toward Completion of Objective

| Target<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Planned Activities  | Sufficient<br>Funding &<br>Staffing?<br>(Yes or No) | Modified<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Completion<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) |
|--------------------------------|---|---|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 4/2019                         | Discuss best practices with other DOC bureaus during monthly meetings.                      | Yes   |                                  |                                    |
| 8/2019                         | Initiate discussions with peers at various EEO-related conferences to learn best practices. | Yes   |                                  |                                    |

| Fiscal Year | Accomplishments |
|-------------|-----------------|
|             |                 |

#### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

## MD-715 – Part I Agency EEO Plan to Eliminate Identified Barrier

Please describe the status of each plan that the agency implemented to identify possible barriers in policies, procedures, or practices for employees and applicants by race, ethnicity, and gender.

If the agency did not conduct barrier analysis during the reporting period, please check the box.

#### Statement of Condition That Was a Trigger for a Potential Barrier:

| Source of the Trigger   | Specific<br>Workforce<br>Data Table | Narrative Description of Trigger  |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| Workforce<br>Data Table | A4; A8; A14                         | The participation rate of permanent women at the GS-13 and above is 31.60%, which is lower than the permanent participation rate of 33.16%. |

## EEO Group(s) Affected by Trigger

| EEO Group   | Affected by Trigger?<br>(Yes or No) |
|---|-------------------------------------|
| All Men   | No                                  |
| All Women   | Yes                                 |
| Hispanic or Latino Males                          | No                                  |
| Hispanic or Latino Females                        | No                                  |
| White Males                                       | No                                  |
| White Females                                     | No                                  |
| Black or African American Males                   | No                                  |
| Black or African American Females                 | No                                  |
| Asian Males                                       | No                                  |
| Asian Females                                     | No                                  |
| Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander Males   | No                                  |
| Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander Females | No                                  |
| American Indian or Alaska Native Males            | No                                  |

## FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

| EEO Group                                | Affected by Trigger?<br>(Yes or No) |
|--|-------------------------------------|
| American Indian or Alaska Native Females | No                                  |
| Two or More Races Males                  | No                                  |
| Two or More Races Females                | No                                  |

# Barrier Analysis Process

| Sources of Data  | Source<br>Reviewed?<br>(Yes or No) | Identify Information Collected  |
|--|------------------------------------|---|
| Workforce Data Tables  | Yes                                | Workforce statistics (A4) for grades GS-13 and above<br>were reviewed and analyzed on a basic level.<br>New Hires and Separations Data. |
| Complaint Data (Trends)  | No                                 |   |
| Grievance Data (Trends)  | No                                 |   |
| Findings from Decisions<br>(e.g., EEO, Grievance,<br>MSPB, Anti-Harassment<br>Processes) | No                                 |   |
| Climate Assessment Survey<br>(e.g., FEVS)  | No                                 |   |
| Exit Interview Data  | No                                 |   |
| Focus Groups   | No                                 |   |
| Interviews   | No                                 |   |
| Reports (e.g., Congress,<br>EEOC, MSPB, GAO, OPM)  | No                                 |   |
| Other (Career Development<br>Program Data)   | Yes                                | Applicant and selection data for Leadership<br>Competencies Development Program (LCDP).   |

# **Status of Barrier Analysis Process**

### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

| Barrier Analysis Process Completed? | Barrier(s) Identified? |  |  |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------|--|--|
| (Yes or No)                         | (Yes or No)            |  |  |
| NO                                  | N/A                    |  |  |

# Statement of Identified Barrier(s)

| Description of Policy, Procedure, or Practice                  |  |  |
|--|--|--|
| The Barrier Analysis process was initiated, but not completed. |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# Objective(s) and Dates for EEO Plan

| Objective  | Date<br>Initiated<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Target<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Sufficient<br>Funding<br>&<br>Staffing?<br>(Yes or No) | Modified<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Date<br>Completed<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) |
|--|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Increase the pool of female applicants to GS-13-SES level.                   | 3/2012                            | 8/2018                         | Yes  | 8/2020                           |                                   |
| Regularly review outreach,<br>promotion, recruitment &<br>retention efforts. | 3/2012                            | 8/2018                         | Yes  |                                  | 8/2018                            |
|  |                                   |                                |  |                                  |                                   |

| Title          | Name           | Performance Standards<br>Address the Plan?<br>(Yes or No) |  |
|----------------|----------------|---|--|
| OICR Director  | Kenneth Bailey | Yes   |  |
| SEPM for Women | Linda Walker   | Yes   |  |
|                |                |   |  |

#### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

| Target Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Planned Activities   | Modified<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Completion<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) |
|-----------------------------|--|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 2/2017                      | Brief NOAA leadership on the barrier and alternative agency policies, procedures, and practices. |                                  | 2/2017                             |
| 3/2017                      | Establishing Diversity and Inclusion within OICR.  |                                  | 3/2017                             |
| 2/2018                      | Continue Barrier Analysis efforts to determine the cause of low participation rate.              | 3/2019                           |                                    |
| 2/2018                      | SEPMs to develop action plans to address identified barriers.                                    | 3/2019                           |                                    |
| 8/2018                      | Develop a survey for separating employees, to identify possible barriers to retention efforts.   | 8/2019                           |                                    |
| 6/2019                      | Review applicant/participant data for Mentoring<br>Program                                       |                                  |                                    |

#### Planned Activities Toward Completion of Objective

# Report of Accomplishments

| Fiscal Year Accomplishments |   |
|-----------------------------|---|
| 2018                        | To increase the diversity of hiring & interview panels, NOAA invited employees to participate in Hiring Panels. |
| 2018                        | Launched a Mentoring Program for employees at all levels.   |

#### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

#### MD-715 – Part I Agency EEO Plan to Eliminate Identified Barrier

Please describe the status of each plan that the agency implemented to identify possible barriers in policies, procedures, or practices for employees and applicants by race, ethnicity, and gender.

If the agency did not conduct barrier analysis during the reporting period, please check the box.

#### Statement of Condition That Was a Trigger for a Potential Barrier:

| Source of the Trigger    | Specific<br>Workforce<br>Data Table | Narrative Description of Trigger   |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Workforce<br>Data Tables | A1, A8, A14                         | The representation of women in the total workforce is 33.29% as compared to the CLF at 48.14%. |

#### EEO Group(s) Affected by Trigger

| EEO Group   | Affected by Trigger?<br>(Yes or No) |
|---|-------------------------------------|
| All Men   | No                                  |
| All Women   | Yes                                 |
| Hispanic or Latino Males                          | No                                  |
| Hispanic or Latino Females                        | No                                  |
| White Males                                       | No                                  |
| White Females                                     | No                                  |
| Black or African American Males                   | No                                  |
| Black or African American Females                 | No                                  |
| Asian Males                                       | No                                  |
| Asian Females                                     | No                                  |
| Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander Males   | No                                  |
| Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander Females | No                                  |
| American Indian or Alaska Native Males            | No                                  |
| American Indian or Alaska Native Females          | No                                  |

#### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

| EEO Group                 | Affected by Trigger?<br>(Yes or No) |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Two or More Races Males   | No                                  |
| Two or More Races Females | No                                  |

#### **Barrier Analysis Process**

| Sources of Data  | Source<br>Reviewed?<br>(Yes or No) | Identify Information Collected                    |
|--|------------------------------------|---|
| Workforce Data Tables  | Yes                                | Total Workforce, New Hires, and Separations data. |
| Complaint Data (Trends)  | No                                 |   |
| Grievance Data (Trends)  | No                                 |   |
| Findings from Decisions<br>(e.g., EEO, Grievance,<br>MSPB, Anti-Harassment<br>Processes) | No                                 |   |
| Climate Assessment Survey<br>(e.g., FEVS)  | No                                 |   |
| Exit Interview Data  | No                                 |   |
| Focus Groups   | No                                 |   |
| Interviews   | No                                 |   |
| Reports (e.g., Congress,<br>EEOC, MSPB, GAO, OPM)  | No                                 |   |
| Other (Please Describe)  | No                                 |   |

#### **Status of Barrier Analysis Process**

| Barrier Analysis Process Completed? | Barrier(s) Identified? |  |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------|--|
| (Yes or No)                         | (Yes or No)            |  |
| No                                  | N/A                    |  |

#### Statement of Identified Barrier(s)

#### Description of Policy, Procedure, or Practice

The Barrier Analysis process was initiated, but not completed.

#### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

## **Objective(s) and Dates for EEO Plan**

| Objective  | Date<br>Initiated<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Target<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Sufficient<br>Funding<br>&<br>Staffing?<br>(Yes or No) | Modified<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Date<br>Completed<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) |
|--|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| NOAA will focus recruitment and retention efforts for women. | 1/2015                            | 9/2018                         | Yes  | 9/2019                           |                                   |

#### Responsible Official(s)

| Title                                    | Name           | Performance Standards<br>Address the Plan?<br>(Yes or No) |
|--|----------------|---|
| OICR Director                            | Kenneth Bailey | Yes   |
| Director, Workforce Management<br>Office | Kimberly Bauhs | No  |
| SEPM for Women                           | Linda Walker   | Yes   |

#### Planned Activities Toward Completion of Objective

| Target Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Planned Activities  | Modified<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Completion<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) |
|-----------------------------|---|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 1/2017                      | Provide NOAA Leadership with briefings and periodic updates.                      |                                  | 1/2017                             |
| 3/2017                      | OICR will brief Line Offices on low participation of women in major occupations.  |                                  | 3/2017                             |
| 2/2018                      | Special Emphasis Program Managers (SEPM)<br>will review NOAA recruitment efforts. |                                  | 2/2018                             |
| 5/2018                      | OICR will focus on new hires and retention efforts throughout NOAA.               |                                  | 5/2018                             |
| 6/2018                      | SEPM will analyze major occupations and brief OICR leadership.                    | 6/2019                           |                                    |
| 9/2018                      | Increase use of Pathways Program for hiring.                                      |                                  | 9/2018                             |

#### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

| Fiscal Year | Accomplishments   |
|-------------|---|
| 2018        | Met with Line/Staff Offices to identify recruitment efforts and collaboration with OICR.                        |
| 2018        | OICR Director provides quarterly workforce briefings to senior leaders.   |
| 2018        | To increase the diversity of hiring & interview panels, NOAA invited employees to participate in Hiring Panels. |
| 2018        | NOAA's National Weather Service posted 30 Pathway positions.  |
| 2018        | Launched a Mentoring Program for employees at all levels.   |

## Report of Accomplishments

#### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

#### MD-715 – Part I Agency EEO Plan to Eliminate Identified Barrier

Please describe the status of each plan that the agency implemented to identify possible barriers in policies, procedures, or practices for employees and applicants by race, ethnicity, and gender.

If the agency did not conduct barrier analysis during the reporting period, please check the box.

#### Statement of Condition That Was a Trigger for a Potential Barrier:

| Source of the Trigger                             | Specific<br>Workforce<br>Data Table                                  | Narrative Description of Trigger   |
|---|--|--|
| HR<br>Connect<br>Workforce<br>Analytics<br>System | Table A1<br>(Total<br>Workforce)<br>and A4<br>(Major<br>Occupations) | Total representation of African American Females is 5.03%; this rate is 1.5% lower than the expected CLF participation rate of 6.53%.<br>African American Females representation in major occupations 0482 (Fishery Biologist) and 1340 (Meteorologist) continue to be below the expected representation rate when compared to the OCLF. African American Females 0482s were at 0.60% which is 1% below the OCLF of 1.60%; 1340s were at 0.44% which is 1.06% below the OCLF of 1.50%. |

#### EEO Group(s) Affected by Trigger

| EEO Group                                       | Affected by Trigger?<br>(Yes or No) |
|---|-------------------------------------|
| All Men   | No                                  |
| All Women                                       | No                                  |
| Hispanic or Latino Males                        | No                                  |
| Hispanic or Latino Females                      | No                                  |
| White Males                                     | No                                  |
| White Females                                   | No                                  |
| Black or African American Males                 | No                                  |
| Black or African American Females               | Yes                                 |
| Asian Males                                     | No                                  |
| Asian Females                                   | No                                  |
| Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander Males | No                                  |

#### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

| EEO Group   | Affected by Trigger?<br>(Yes or No) |
|---|-------------------------------------|
| Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander Females | No                                  |
| American Indian or Alaska Native Males            | No                                  |
| American Indian or Alaska Native Females          | No                                  |
| Two or More Races Males                           | No                                  |
| Two or More Races Females                         | No                                  |

## Barrier Analysis Process

| Sources of Data  | Source<br>Reviewed?<br>(Yes or No) | Identify Information Collected  |
|--|------------------------------------|---|
| Workforce Data Tables  | No                                 | Table A7, A9 and A11<br>Table A7, A9 and A11 not available to properly<br>conduct barrier analysis for African American Females<br>in Major Occupations 0482 and 1340. Further<br>analysis will be conducted during FY19.                                       |
| Complaint Data (Trends)  | Yes                                | Review of FY18 EEOC 462 report:<br>19 African American females filed EEO complaints in<br>FY18; however, none were from the 0482 nor 1340<br>series. No triggers of discrimination for these job<br>series were identified based on EEO Complaints<br>Activity. |
| Grievance Data (Trends)  | No                                 |   |
| Findings from Decisions<br>(e.g., EEO, Grievance,<br>MSPB, Anti-Harassment<br>Processes) | No                                 |   |
| Climate Assessment Survey<br>(e.g., FEVS)  | No                                 |   |
| Exit Interview Data  | No                                 |   |
| Focus Groups   | No                                 |   |
| Interviews   | No                                 |   |

#### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

| Sources of Data                                   | Source<br>Reviewed?<br>(Yes or No) | Identify Information Collected   |
|---|------------------------------------|--|
| Reports (e.g., Congress,<br>EEOC, MSPB, GAO, OPM) |                                    |  |
|   | No                                 | Applicant Flow Data<br>Applicant Flow Data for selections made during FY18<br>for job series 0482 and 1340 not available to conduct<br>barrier analysis. Further analysis will be conducted<br>during FY19.  |
| Other (Please Describe)                           | Yes                                | Leadership Career Development Program (LCDP)<br>A review of NOAA's LCDP indicated that 5 African<br>American females applied for LCDP. Out the 19<br>females selected none were African American<br>females. Further analysis will be conducted to identify<br>possible barriers preventing African American females<br>from being selected. |
|   | No                                 | DOC Hiring Barrier Analysis Tools<br>Unable to use DOC Hiring Barrier Analysis Tool as a<br>result of not having available FY18 Applicant Flow<br>Data and Tables A7, A8 and A11.  |
|   | No                                 | DOC Promotions Barrier Analysis Tools<br>Unable to use DOC Promotions Barrier Analysis Tool<br>as a result of not having available FY18 Applicant<br>Flow Data and Tables A7, A8 and A11.  |
|   | No                                 | DOC Applicant Flow Data Analysis Tool<br>Unable to use DOC Applicant Flow Data Analysis<br>Tool as a result of not having available FY18<br>Applicant Flow Data and Tables A7, A8 and A11.   |
|   | No                                 | DOC Root Cause Analysis Tool<br>Unable to use DOC Root Cause Analysis Tool as a<br>result of not having available FY18 Applicant Flow<br>Data and Tables A7, A8 and A11.   |

#### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

#### Status of Barrier Analysis Process

| Barrier Analysis Process Completed?<br>(Yes or No) | Barrier(s) Identified?<br>(Yes or No) |  |
|--|---------------------------------------|--|
| No   | No                                    |  |

#### Statement of Identified Barrier(s)

| Description of Policy, Procedure, or Practice        |  |  |
|--|--|--|
| Insufficient data available to identify any barrier. |  |  |
|  |  |  |

#### Objective(s) and Dates for EEO Plan

| Objective   | Date<br>Initiated<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Target<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Sufficient<br>Funding &<br>Staffing?<br>(Yes or No) | Modified<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Date<br>Complete<br>d<br>(mm/dd/yyyy<br>) |
|---|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|---|
| 1) Discuss additional data needs with WFMO contact.                             | 11/01/2016                        | 03/31/2018                     | Yes   | 03/31/2019                       |   |
| 2) OICR will analyze data tables and identify team to conduct barrier analysis. | 11/01/2016                        | 06/30/2018                     | Yes   | 06/30/2019                       |   |
| 3) Develop plan to implement any corrective action.                             | 11/01/2016                        | 09/30/2018                     | Yes   | 09/30/2019                       |   |

#### Responsible Official(s)

| Title                                    | Name           | Performance Standards<br>Address the Plan?<br>(Yes or No) |
|--|----------------|---|
| OICR Director                            | Kenneth Bailey | Yes   |
| Director, Workforce Management<br>Office | Kimberly Bauhs | No  |
| SEPM for African Americans               | Salim Abddeen  | Yes   |

#### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

## Planned Activities Toward Completion of Objective

| Target Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Planned Activities  | Modified<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Completion<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) |
|-----------------------------|---|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 6/30/2019                   | Review FY18 and 1 <sup>st</sup> and 2 <sup>nd</sup> Qtr of FY19<br>Applicant Flow Data for job series 0482 & 1340.  |                                  |                                    |
| 8/30/2019                   | Develop a survey and disseminate to Blacks In<br>Government members to identify possible<br>barriers preventing African American females<br>from applying to LCDP. Particularly those from<br>the 0482 and 1340 series. |                                  |                                    |

## Report of Accomplishments

| Fiscal Year            | Accomplishments   |
|------------------------|---|
| Fiscal Year<br>FY 2018 | Attended the 2018 Blacks In Government National Training Institute to<br>market NOAA, improve NOAA presence within the community, and<br>enhance NOAA representation of African American females.<br>The NOAA 2018 African American History Month Program was conducted<br>and broadcasted NOAA Wide; a Professor from Howard University was<br>invited as Guest Speaker.<br>NOAA continues its partnership with NOAA BIG conducting year around<br>Career Development/Mentoring sessions focusing on the African American<br>population.<br>NOAA hosted several tours and outreach presentations for local high and<br>junior high schools promoting NOAA's mission and job opportunities.<br>NOAA continues support student internships and various grant programs<br>that embrace African American students. |
|                        | NOAA continues its partnerships with different Organizations and Diversity<br>Councils such as Black of the Year Engineer Award Conference and<br>Historically Black College and University (HBCU) Conference to help<br>increase the African American representation within the Agency.  |

#### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

#### MD-715 – Part I Agency EEO Plan to Eliminate Identified Barrier

Please describe the status of each plan that the agency implemented to identify possible barriers in policies, procedures, or practices for employees and applicants by race, ethnicity, and gender.

If the agency did not conduct barrier analysis during the reporting period, please check the box.

#### Statement of Condition That Was a Trigger for a Potential Barrier:

| Source of the Trigger   | Specific<br>Workforce<br>Data Table | Narrative Description of Trigger  |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|
| Workforce<br>Data<br>Tables/EEOC<br>Technical<br>Assistance<br>Review | A4, A6,<br>A8S                      | Asian females in the 0482 and 1301 series and senior grade levels<br>were lower than their availability in the Occupational Civilian Labor<br>Force (OCLF). |

#### EEO Group(s) Affected by Trigger

| EEO Group                         | Affected by Trigger?<br>(Yes or No) |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| All Men                           | No                                  |
| All Women                         | No                                  |
| Hispanic or Latino Males          | No                                  |
| Hispanic or Latino Females        | No                                  |
| White Males                       | No                                  |
| White Females                     | No                                  |
| Black or African American Males   | No                                  |
| Black or African American Females | No                                  |
| Asian Males                       | No                                  |
| Asian Females                     | Yes                                 |

#### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

| EEO Group   | Affected by Trigger?<br>(Yes or No) |
|---|-------------------------------------|
| Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander Males   | No                                  |
| Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander Females | No                                  |
| American Indian or Alaska Native Males            | No                                  |
| American Indian or Alaska Native Females          | No                                  |
| Two or More Races Males                           | No                                  |
| Two or More Races Females                         | No                                  |

# Barrier Analysis Process

| Sources of Data  | Source<br>Reviewed?<br>(Yes or No) | Identify Information Collected   |
|--|------------------------------------|--|
| Workforce Data Tables  | Yes                                | Tables A6 & A8STable A6 revealed Asian females representation at the<br>0482 and 1301 job series continue to be below the<br>expected representation rate when compared to the<br>OCLF.Asian female 0482 were 1.08%, which is 3.02% below<br>the OCLF of 4.10%. However, there was 1 (3.03%) new<br>hire.Asian female 1301 were 2.44%, which is 4.26% below<br>the OCLF of 6.70%. There were 3 (11.11%) new hires. |
| Complaint Data (Trends)  | No                                 |  |
| Grievance Data (Trends)  | No                                 |  |
| Findings from Decisions<br>(e.g., EEO, Grievance,<br>MSPB, Anti-Harassment<br>Processes) | No                                 |  |
| Climate Assessment Survey<br>(e.g., FEVS)  | No                                 |  |
| Exit Interview Data  | No                                 |  |
| Focus Groups   | No                                 |  |

#### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

| Sources of Data                                   | Source<br>Reviewed?<br>(Yes or No) | Identify Information Collected   |
|---|------------------------------------|--|
| Interviews  | No                                 |  |
| Reports (e.g., Congress,<br>EEOC, MSPB, GAO, OPM) | No                                 |  |
| Other (Career Development Program)                | Yes                                | Leadership Competencies Development Program data revealed an absence of Asian female applicants. |

#### **Status of Barrier Analysis Process**

| Barrier Analysis Process Completed? | Barrier(s) Identified? |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------|
| (Yes or No)                         | (Yes or No)            |
| No                                  | N/A                    |

#### Statement of Identified Barrier(s)

| Description of Policy, Procedure, or Practice  |  |  |
|--|--|--|
| The Barrier Analysis process was initiated, but not completed. Possible Glass Ceiling, Blocked Pipeline, Glass Wall. |  |  |

#### **Objective(s) and Dates for EEO Plan**

| Objective   | Date<br>Initiated<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Target<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Sufficient<br>Funding<br>&<br>Staffing?<br>(Yes or No) | Modified<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Date<br>Completed<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) |
|---|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Continue using the Root Cause<br>Analysis Tool to identify the<br>cause of this condition.                | 11/2016                           | 9/2018                         | Yes  | 7/2019                           |                                   |
| Increase the participation of<br>African-American and Asian<br>females in Career Development<br>Programs. | 1/2019                            | 9/2020                         | Yes  |                                  |                                   |
|   |                                   |                                |  |                                  |                                   |

#### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

# Responsible Official(s)

| Title                                    | Name            | Performance Standards<br>Address the Plan?<br>(Yes or No) |
|--|-----------------|---|
| OICR Director                            | Kenneth Bailey  | Yes   |
| Director, Workforce Management<br>Office | Kimberlyn Bauhs | Yes   |
| SEPM for Women                           | Linda Walker    | Yes   |

#### Planned Activities Toward Completion of Objective

| Target Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Planned Activities   | Modified<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Completion<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) |
|-----------------------------|--|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 3/2018                      | Discuss additional data needs with WFMO contact.   |                                  | 3/2018                             |
| 6/2018                      | OICR will analyze additional data sources and identify team to conduct barrier analysis. | 6/2019                           |                                    |
| 5/2019                      | Initiate Employee Resource Groups  |                                  |                                    |
| 7/2019                      | Hold informational briefings on career development programs for all employees.           |                                  |                                    |
| 9/2018                      | Develop plan to implement any corrective actions.  | 9/2019                           |                                    |

#### **Report of Accomplishments**

| Fiscal Year | Accomplishments   |
|-------------|---|
| 2018        | To increase the diversity of hiring & interview panels, NOAA invited employees to participate in Hiring Panels. |
| 2018        | Launched a Mentoring Program for employees at all levels.   |

#### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

#### MD-715 – Part I Agency EEO Plan to Eliminate Identified Barrier

Please describe the status of each plan that the agency implemented to identify possible barriers in policies, procedures, or practices for employees and applicants by race, ethnicity, and gender.

If the agency did not conduct barrier analysis during the reporting period, please check the box.

#### Statement of Condition That Was a Trigger for a Potential Barrier:

| Source of the Trigger                       | Specific<br>Workforce<br>Data Table | Narrative Description of Trigger   |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|
| HR  | Table A1.                           | A review of total workforce data revealed that the representation of Hispanics/Latinos is 3.50%; this rate is 6.46% lower than the expected CLF participation rate of 9.96%. |
| Connect<br>Workforce<br>Analytics<br>System | Table A1:<br>Total<br>Workforce     | The representation of Hispanics/Latinos Males is 2.11%; this rate is 3.06% lower than the expected CLF participation rate of 5.17%.  |
|   |                                     | The representation of Hispanics/Latinos Females is 1.39%; this rate is 3.40% lower than the expected CLF participation rate of 4.79%.  |

#### EEO Group(s) Affected by Trigger

| EEO Group                         | Affected by Trigger?<br>(Yes or No) |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| All Men                           | No                                  |
| All Women                         | No                                  |
| Hispanic or Latino Males          | Yes                                 |
| Hispanic or Latino Females        | Yes                                 |
| White Males                       | No                                  |
| White Females                     | No                                  |
| Black or African American Males   | No                                  |
| Black or African American Females | No                                  |
| Asian Males                       | No                                  |
| Asian Females                     | No                                  |

#### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

| EEO Group   | Affected by Trigger?<br>(Yes or No) |
|---|-------------------------------------|
| Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander Males   | No                                  |
| Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander Females | No                                  |
| American Indian or Alaska Native Males            | No                                  |
| American Indian or Alaska Native Females          | No                                  |
| Two or More Races Males                           | No                                  |
| Two or More Races Females                         | No                                  |

# Barrier Analysis Process

| Sources of Data         | Source<br>Reviewed?<br>(Yes or No) | Identify Information Collected  |
|-------------------------|------------------------------------|---|
| Workforce Data Tables   | Partially                          | <ul> <li>Review of MD-715 Tables:</li> <li><u>Table A6</u></li> <li>A review of Table A6 revealed that Hispanics/Latinos representation at the 0482 and 2210 job series continue to be below the expected representation rate when compared to the OCLF.</li> <li>Hispanics/Latinos 0482s were at 2.39% which is 2.11% below the OCLF of 4.50%; however, there was a slight increase of 0.27% (two new hires) when comparing with FY17. Hispanics/Latinos 2210s were at 4.21% which is 3.39% below the OCLF of 7.60%, also with a slight increase of 0.51 (five new hires) in comparison with FY17.</li> <li><u>Table A7, A9 and A11</u></li> <li>Table A7, A9 and A11 not available to properly conduct barrier analysis for Hispanics/Latinos in Major Occupations 0482 and 2210s. Further analysis will be conducted during FY19.</li> </ul> |
| Complaint Data (Trends) | Yes                                | Review of FY18 EEOC 462 report:   |

#### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

| Sources of Data  | Source<br>Reviewed?<br>(Yes or No) | Identify Information Collected  |
|--|------------------------------------|---|
|  |                                    | A review of FY18 EEOC 462 report revealed that six<br>Hispanics/Latinos filed an informal EEO Complaint<br>during FY18 alleging discrimination based on<br>Race/National Origin (Hispanic/Latino). Three of those<br>filed Formal and two of them were due to issues related<br>to Hire/Appointment and Promotion/Non Selection.<br>However, there were no findings of discrimination after<br>completing the investigation. No triggers of<br>discrimination were identified based on EEO Complaints<br>Activity.  |
| Grievance Data (Trends)  | No                                 |   |
| Findings from Decisions<br>(e.g., EEO, Grievance,<br>MSPB, Anti-Harassment<br>Processes) | No                                 |   |
| Climate Assessment<br>Survey (e.g., FEVS)  | Yes                                | <ul> <li>Review of FY18 FEVS Hispanics Indices:</li> <li>A review of FY18 FEVS results revealed that 52% of the total Hispanics/Latinos participated in the survey. Their satisfaction rate is 64.2% which is 5.6% below the NOAA satisfaction rate of 69.8%; engagement rate is 64.9% which is 4.3% below the NOAA engagement of 69.2%.</li> <li>The Hispanic/Latino inclusion rate is 58.9% which is 4.3% below the NOAA inclusion rate of 63.2%. Overall positive increased was reflected when comparing with FY17. When looking in detail the questions impacting the inclusion rate, the ones with lower rates were those related to Fairness (50.2%), Openness (56.3%) and Cooperative (52.6%). Based on the responses the top four issues affecting Hispanics/Latinos inclusion rate are that: 1) no steps are taken to deal with poor performers, 2) lack of recognition, 3) creativity/innovation not rewarded, and 4) Managers not promoting communication.</li> <li>The survey also revealed that only 44% of Hispanics/Latinos believe promotions are based on merit, only 34% Hispanics/Latinos believe pay raises are based on performance, and only 36% feel there's an</li> </ul> |

#### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

| Sources of Data                                   | Source<br>Reviewed?<br>(Yes or No) | Identify Information Collected   |
|---|------------------------------------|--|
|   |                                    | opportunity for advancement within the agency. A review of internal selections and career development program will be conducted during FY19.   |
| Exit Interview Data                               | No                                 |  |
| Focus Groups                                      | No                                 |  |
| Interviews  | No                                 |  |
| Reports (e.g., Congress,<br>EEOC, MSPB, GAO, OPM) | No                                 |  |
|   | No                                 | Applicant Flow Data<br>Applicant Flow Data for selections made during FY18 for<br>job series 0482 and 2210 not available to conduct barrier<br>analysis. Further analysis will be conducted during FY19.   |
| Other (Please Describe)                           | Yes                                | Leadership Career Development Program (LCDP)<br>A review of NOAA's LCDP indicated that 33% of total<br>Hispanics/Latinos (1 of 3) that applied for the program<br>were selected. Further analysis will be conducted to<br>identify possible barriers preventing Hispanics/Latinos to<br>apply for the program and advance to Senior Leadership<br>positions. |
|   | No                                 | DOC Hiring Barrier Analysis Tools<br>Unable to use DOC Hiring Barrier Analysis Tool as a<br>result of not having available FY18 Applicant Flow Data<br>and Tables A7, A8 and A11.  |
| No Unable a result                                |                                    | DOC Promotions Barrier Analysis Tools<br>Unable to use DOC Promotions Barrier Analysis Tool as<br>a result of not having available FY18 Applicant Flow<br>Data and Tables A7, A8 and A11.  |
|   | No                                 | DOC Applicant Flow Data Analysis Tool<br>Unable to use DOC Applicant Flow Data Analysis Tool<br>as a result of not having available FY18 Applicant Flow<br>Data and Tables A7, A8 and A11.   |

#### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

| Sources of Data | Source<br>Reviewed?<br>(Yes or No) | Identify Information Collected   |  |
|-----------------|------------------------------------|--|--|
|                 | No                                 | DOC Root Cause Analysis Tool<br>Unable to use DOC Root Cause Analysis Tool as a result<br>of not having available FY18 Applicant Flow Data and<br>Tables A7, A8 and A11. |  |

#### **Status of Barrier Analysis Process**

| Barrier Analysis Process Completed? | Barrier(s) Identified? |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------|
| (Yes or No)                         | (Yes or No)            |
| No                                  | No                     |

#### Statement of Identified Barrier(s)

#### Description of Policy, Procedure, or Practice

Possible Glass Ceiling, Pipeline, or even a potential institutional barrier in the Recruitment/Selection Process for NOAA Senior Leadership Positions, which affects the overall representation of Hispanics/Latinos particularly in major occupations 0482 (Fishery Biologist) and 2210 (IT Management) job series.

#### **Objective(s) and Dates for EEO Plan**

| Objective   | Date<br>Initiated<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Target<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Sufficient<br>Funding<br>&<br>Staffing?<br>(Yes or No) | Modified<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Date<br>Completed<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) |
|---|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Increase participation rate for Hispanics or Latinos at NOAA.   | 11/1/2015                         | 9/30/2022                      | No   |                                  |                                   |
| OICR and WFMO will develop<br>a survey for separating<br>employees to identify possible<br>barriers to retention.   | 10/1/2018                         | 7/31/2018                      | No   | 7/31/2019                        |                                   |
| Review hiring procedures, and<br>the diversity of panel members<br>and selecting officials to<br>identify any possible barriers<br>for Hispanic Senior Leadership | 10/1/2018                         | 8/31/2018                      | Yes  | 8/31/2019                        |                                   |

#### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

| Objective  | Date<br>Initiated<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Target<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Sufficient<br>Funding<br>&<br>Staffing?<br>(Yes or No) | Modified<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Date<br>Completed<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) |
|--|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| positions in Major Occupations<br>0482-Fisherby Biologist and<br>2210 (IT Management). |                                   |                                |  |                                  |                                   |

# Responsible Official(s)

| Title  | Name              | Performance Standards<br>Address the Plan?<br>(Yes or No) |  |
|--|-------------------|---|--|
| Director, Workforce Management<br>Office     | Kimberlyn Bauhs   | Yes   |  |
| Director, Office of Inclusion & Civil Rights | Kenneth M. Bailey | Yes   |  |

#### Planned Activities Toward Completion of Objective

| Target Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Planned Activities   | Modified<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Completion<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) |
|-----------------------------|--|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 6/28/2019                   | Review FY18 and 1 <sup>st</sup> and 2 <sup>nd</sup> Qtr of FY19<br>Applicant Flow Data for job series 0482 & 2210.                           |                                  |                                    |
| 8/30/2019                   | Develop a survey and disseminate to<br>Latinos@NOAA members to identify possible<br>barriers preventing Hispanics/Latinos apply for<br>LCDP. |                                  |                                    |

#### Report of Accomplishments

| Fiscal Year | Accomplishments   |
|-------------|---|
|             | During FY18, NOAA participated in three outreach events focusing on the hiring of Hispanics/Latinos to improve the representation and Inclusion of Hispanics/Latinos at NOAA.       |
| FY18        | The NOAA 2018 National Hispanic Heritage Month Program was conducted and broadcasted NOAA Wide; a Professor of Southeastern Oklahoma State University was invited as Guest Speaker. |

#### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

| NOAA continues its partnership with Latinos@NOAA conducting year around Career Development/Mentoring sessions focusing on the Hispanic population.   |
|--|
| NOAA continues its partnerships with different Organizations and Diversity<br>Councils such as League of United Latin American Citizens (LULAC), the<br>LULAC Federal Training Institute Partnership (FTIP), the National Council<br>of Hispanic Employment Program Managers (NCHPM) focusing on<br>networking, outreach, sharing resources and sharing best practices to help<br>increase the Hispanic/Latino representation within the Agency. |
| NOAA Hispanic Special Emphasis Program Manager became Executive<br>Board Member of the NCHPM to increase network and identify best<br>practices from other Federal Agencies that can be adopted to increase the<br>representation of Hispanics/Latinos at NOAA. Also became a member of<br>NOAA Outreach Committee for Society for Advancement of<br>Chicanos/Hispanics and Native Americans in Science (SACNAS).                                |
| NOAA Hispanic Special Emphasis Program Manager developed and<br>implemented a NOAA Wide EEO and D&I Events Google Calendar and a<br>Printable Calendar that is updated and disseminated to all NOAA<br>employees monthly with EEO and D&I events, trainings, webinars,<br>conferences, etc.  |

#### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

#### **MD-715 – Part J**

#### Special Program Plan for the Recruitment, Hiring, Advancement, and Retention of Persons with Disabilities

To capture agencies' affirmative action plan for persons with disabilities (PWD) and persons with targeted disabilities (PWTD), EEOC regulations (29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(e)) and MD-715 require agencies to describe how their plan will improve the recruitment, hiring, advancement, and retention of applicants and employees with disabilities. All agencies, regardless of size, must complete this Part of the MD-715 report.

#### Section I: Efforts to Reach Regulatory Goals

EEOC regulations (29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(d)(7)) require agencies to establish specific numerical goals for increasing the participation of persons with reportable and targeted disabilities in the federal government.

1. Using the goal of 12% as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving <u>PWD</u> by grade level cluster in the permanent workforce? If "ves", describe the trigger(s) in the text box.

| a. | Cluster GS-1 to GS-10 (PWD) | Yes 0 | <u>No 0</u> |
|----|-----------------------------|-------|-------------|
| b. | Cluster GS-11 to SES (PWD)  | Yes 0 | No 0        |

a. No. PWD in GS-1 to GS-10 is 16.30%

b. Yes. PWD in GS-11 to SES is 8.07%; 3.93% below the benchmark.

2. Using the goal of 2% as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving <u>PWTD</u> by grade level cluster in the permanent workforce? If "ves". describe the trigger(s) in the text box.

| a. Cluster GS-1 to GS-10 (PWTD)       | Yes 0 | <u>No 0</u> |
|---------------------------------------|-------|-------------|
| <b>b.</b> Cluster GS-11 to SES (PWTD) | Yes 0 | <u>No 0</u> |

a. No. PWTD in GS-1 to GS-10 is 4.90%.

b. No. PWTD in GS-11 to SES is 2.22%

# **3.** Describe how the agency has communicated the numerical goals to the hiring managers and/or recruiters.

The agency holds bimonthly training sessions for first line supervisors and their designees. The training sessions include agency goals, current statistics, historical legislation, and a portion to advocate for program usage.

#### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

#### Section II: Model Disability Program

Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. §1614.203(d)(1), agencies must ensure sufficient staff, training and resources to recruit and hire persons with disabilities and persons with targeted disabilities, administer the reasonable accommodation program and special emphasis program, and oversee any other disability hiring and advancement program the agency has in place.

# A. PLAN TO PROVIDE SUFFICIENT & COMPETENT STAFFING FOR THE DISABILITY PROGRAM

**1.** Has the agency designated sufficient qualified personnel to implement its disability program during the reporting period? If "no", describe the agency's plan to improve the staffing for the upcoming year.

Yes 0 No 0

Yes. The agency currently employs a program manager. The program manager provides oversight and advocacy for the program. The agency also employees 35+ human resources specialists who serve as points of contact to hiring managers for questions regarding program use.

**2.** Identify all staff responsible for implementing the agency's disability employment program by the office, staff employment status, and responsible official.

|  | # of FTE Staff by Employment |      |            |                                  |
|--|------------------------------|------|------------|----------------------------------|
| Disability Program Task                | Status                       |      |            | Responsible Official             |
| Disdonity Program Pask                 | Full                         | Part | Collateral | (Name, Title, Office, Email)     |
|  | Time                         | Time | Duty       |                                  |
| Processing applications from PWD and   | 1                            |      | 35         | Kiana Campbell                   |
| PWTD                                   |                              |      |            | Disability Program Manager,      |
|  |                              |      |            | Human Resources Kiana.           |
|  |                              |      |            | D.Campbell@noaa.gov              |
| Answering questions from the public    | 1                            |      | 35         | Kiana Campbell                   |
| about hiring authorities that take     |                              |      |            | Disability Program Manager,      |
| disability into account                |                              |      |            | Human Resources Kiana.           |
|  |                              |      |            | D.Campbell@noaa.gov              |
| Processing reasonable accommodation    | 2                            |      |            | Debbie Ferrera, Reasonable       |
| requests from applicants and employees |                              |      |            | Accommodations Coordinator,      |
|  |                              |      |            | WFMO,                            |
|  |                              |      |            | Debbie.a.ferrera@noaa.gov        |
|  |                              |      |            | Carol Martin, HR Specialist,     |
|  |                              |      |            | WFMO, carol.martin@noaa.gov      |
| Section 508 Compliance                 | 1                            |      |            | Jennifer Coletta, IT Specialist, |
|  |                              |      |            | OCIO, Jennifer.coletta@noaa.gov  |

#### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

| Architectural Barriers Act Compliance        | 1 |    | Deirdre Jones, Acting, Chief<br>Administrative Officer, OCAO,<br>Deirdre.jones@noaa.gov   |
|--|---|----|---|
| Special Emphasis Program for PWD and<br>PWTD | 2 | 35 | Carol Summers, SEPM for<br>PWD/PWTD, Office of Inclusion &<br>Civil Rights,<br><u>carol.l.summers@noaa.gov</u><br>Kiana Campbell<br>Disability Program Manager,<br>Human Resources<br>Kiana.D.Campbell@noaa.gov |

**3.** Has the agency provided disability program staff with sufficient training to carry out their responsibilities during the reporting period? If "yes", describe the training that disability program staff have received. If "no", describe the training planned for the upcoming year.

Yes 0 No 0

The disability program manager has received training from several outlets including USDA Graduate School, ASKEARN Training Center, and the Disability Management Employer Coalition.

# **B. PLAN TO ENSURE SUFFICIENT FUNDING FOR THE DISABILITY PROGRAM**

Has the agency provided sufficient funding and other resources to successfully implement the disability program during the reporting period? If "no", describe the agency's plan to ensure all aspects of the disability program have sufficient *funding* and other *resources*.

<u>Yes 0</u> No 0

Yes. To ensure the program's success, funding has been designated for outreach and recruitment.

#### Section III: Plan to Recruit and Hire Individuals with Disabilities

Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(d)(1)(i) and (ii), agencies must establish a plan to increase the recruitment and hiring of individuals with disabilities. The questions below are designed to identify outcomes of the agency's recruitment program plan for PWD and PWTD.

#### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

# A.PLAN TO IDENTIFY JOB APPLICANTS WITH DISABILITIES

# **1.** Describe the programs and resources the agency uses to identify job applicants with disabilities, including individuals with targeted disabilities.

Currently the agency uses outreach to vocational rehabilitation centers, veteran organizations and the resume mining feature from USAJOBS to search for applicants with disabilities. We have also encouraged managers to use the Workforce Recruitment Program database to identify applicants to fill positions. Several of the line and staff offices have incorporated diversity and plans to hire individuals with disabilities in management performance plans.

2. Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(a)(3), describe the agency's use of hiring authorities that take disability into account (e.g., Schedule A) to recruit PWD and PWTD for positions in the permanent workforce.

The agency encourages managers to use Schedule A to fill vacant positions whenever possible. We have also used 30% or more disabled veterans to fill positions. Our outreach to vocational rehabilitation centers and disabled veterans organizations encourages eligible applicants to make resumes searchable in USAJOBS and to submit resumes to NOAA via a specific email address.

**3.** When individuals apply for a position under a hiring authority that takes disability into account (e.g., Schedule A), explain how the agency (1) determines if the individual is eligible for appointment under such authority and (2) forwards the individual's application to the relevant hiring officials with an explanation of how and when the individual may be appointed.

If an applicant applies for a position outside of the competitive process, the human resources specialist will review qualifications and eligibility for appointments. Once an applicant meets both criteria, the specialist will use a secure file to forward the applicant's resume to the hiring manager for review.

**4.** Has the agency provided training to all hiring managers on the use of hiring authorities that take disability into account (e.g., Schedule A)? If "yes", describe the type(s) of training and frequency. If "no", describe the agency's plan to provide this training.

<u>Yes 0</u> No 0

No 0 N/A 0

Yes. The agency offers bimonthly in person or webinar training to hiring managers. The agency also provides an online training to managers.

# **B.PLAN TO ESTABLISH CONTACTS WITH DISABILITY EMPLOYMENT ORGANIZATIONS**

Describe the agency's efforts to establish and maintain contacts with organizations that assist PWD, including PWTD, in securing and maintaining employment.

#### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

Currently, the agency has a representative to visit vocational rehabilitation centers near cities where NOAA has a large presence and offer assistance to PWD and PWTD including training on how to understand and apply for federal positions. Beginning Q2 FY19, the agency will increase visibility to targeted areas nationwide.

#### C. PROGRESSION TOWARDS GOALS (RECRUITMENT AND HIRING)

1. Using the goals of 12% for PWD and 2% for PWTD as the benchmarks, do triggers exist for PWD and/or PWTD among the new hires in the permanent workforce? If "yes", please describe the triggers below.

| a. | New Hires for Permanent Workforce (PWD)  | Yes 0        | <u>No 0</u> |
|----|--|--------------|-------------|
| b. | New Hires for Permanent Workforce (PWTD) | <u>Yes 0</u> | No 0        |
|    |  |              |             |

- a. No. New hires for PWDs was 13.06%
- b. Yes. New hires for PWTDs was 1.75%

2. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, do triggers exist for PWD and/or PWTD among the new hires for any of the mission-critical occupations (MCO)? If "yes", please describe the triggers below.

| a. | New Hires for MCO (PWD)  | Yes 0 | No 0 |
|----|--------------------------|-------|------|
| b. | New Hires for MCO (PWTD) | Yes 0 | No 0 |

Insufficient data prevented a thorough analysis. Planned activities for FY 2019 will be initiated.

# 3. Using the relevant applicant pool as the benchmark, do triggers exist for PWD and/or PWTD among the qualified *internal* applicants for any of the mission-critical occupations (MCO)? If "yes", please describe the triggers below.

| a. Qualified Applicants for MCO (PWD)  | Yes 0 | No 0 |
|--|-------|------|
| b. Qualified Applicants for MCO (PWTD) | Yes 0 | No 0 |

Insufficient data prevented a thorough analysis. Planned activities for FY 2019 will be initiated.

4. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, do triggers exist for PWD and/or PWTD among employees promoted to any of the mission-critical occupations (MCO)? If "yes", please describe the triggers below.

| a. | Promotions for MCO (PWD)  | Yes 0 | No 0 |
|----|---------------------------|-------|------|
| b. | Promotions for MCO (PWTD) | Yes 0 | No 0 |

#### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

Insufficient data prevented a thorough analysis. Planned activities for FY 2019 will be initiated.

#### Section IV: Plan to Ensure Advancement Opportunities for Employees with Disabilities

Pursuant to 29 C.F.R §1614.203(d)(1)(iii), agencies are required to provide sufficient advancement opportunities for employees with disabilities. Such activities might include specialized training and mentoring programs, career development opportunities, awards programs, promotions, and similar programs that address advancement. In this section, agencies should identify, and provide data on programs designed to ensure advancement opportunities for employees with disabilities.

# A.ADVANCEMENT PROGRAM PLAN

Describe the agency's plan to ensure PWD, including PWTD, have sufficient opportunities for advancement.

1) Initiate Employee Resource Groups meetings to discuss career development program opportunities. **April 2019** 

2) Develop & present briefings on Individual Development Plans, Leadership Competencies Development Program (LCDP) & other career development programs. July 2019

# **B.CAREER DEVELOPMENT OPPORTUNITIES**

- **1.** Please describe the career development opportunities that the agency provides to its employees.
  - Leadership Competencies Development Program (LCDP) a competitive, 18-month program that provides a series of training and developmental experiences for a cadre of NOAA individuals, GS-13-15, who have high potential for assuming leadership responsibilities.
  - The NOAA Leadership Seminar (NLS) is a 4+ day residential training program for employees from all NOAA Line and Staff Offices, all occupations, from both the field and headquarters.
  - The NOAA Rotational Assignment Program (NRAP) provides developmental assignments for employees at all grade levels to broaden their skills, gain knowledge, and enhance their personal and professional growth.

#### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

| Career Development<br>Opportunities            | Total Pa       | rticipants       | PV             | VD            | PW             | ГD            |
|--|----------------|------------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|
|  | Applicants (#) | Selectees<br>(#) | Applicants (%) | Selectees (%) | Applicants (%) | Selectees (%) |
| Internship Programs                            |                |                  |                |               |                |               |
| Fellowship Programs                            |                |                  |                |               |                |               |
| Mentoring Programs                             |                |                  |                |               |                |               |
| Coaching Programs                              |                |                  |                |               |                |               |
| Training Programs                              |                |                  |                |               |                |               |
| Detail Programs                                |                |                  |                |               |                |               |
| Other Career<br>Development Programs<br>(LCDP) | 113            | 32               | 0.88%          | 0%            | 0.88%          | 0%            |

2. Do triggers exist for <u>PWD</u> among the applicants and/or selectees for any of the career development programs? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for the applicants and the applicant pool for selectees.) If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box.

| a. | Applicants (PWD) | <u>Yes 0</u> | No | 0 |
|----|------------------|--------------|----|---|
| b. | Selections (PWD) | <u>Yes 0</u> | No | 0 |

a. Yes. PWDs applicants represented 0.88%.

b. Yes. There were no PWDs selected for the LCDP.

**3.** Do triggers exist for <u>PWTD</u> among the applicants and/or selectees for any of the career development programs identified? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for applicants and the applicant pool for selectees.) If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box.

| a. | Applicants (PWTD) | <u>Yes</u> 0 | No 0 |
|----|-------------------|--------------|------|
| b. | Selections (PWTD) | Yes 0        | No 0 |

- a. Yes. PWTDs applicants represented 0.88%.
- b. Yes. There were no PWTDs selected for the LCDP.

#### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

# **C.Awards**

1. Using the inclusion rate as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWD and/or PWTD for any level of the time-off awards, bonuses, or other incentives? If "yes", please describe the trigger(s) in the text box.

| a. | Awards, Bonuses, & Incentives (PWD)  | Yes 0 | No 0 |
|----|--------------------------------------|-------|------|
| b. | Awards, Bonuses, & Incentives (PWTD) | Yes 0 | No 0 |

- a. Yes. Cash Awards \$1500+ for PWD was 6.34% as compared to the inclusion rate of 8.81%. Time-off Awards (9+hours) was 8.02%; Time-off Awards (1-9 hours) was 5.71%.
- b. Yes. **Cash Awards \$1500**+ for PWTD was 1.88% as compared to the inclusion rate of 2.46%; **Time-off Awards (9+ hours)** for PWTD was 2.35%.
- 2. Using the inclusion rate as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWD and/or PWTD for quality step increases (QSI) or performance-based pay increases? If "yes", please describe the trigger(s) in the text box.

| a. Pay Increases (PWD)   | <u>Yes 0</u> No 0               |  |  |  |  |
|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| b. Pay Increases (PWTD)  | <u>Yes 0</u> No 0               |  |  |  |  |
| a. Yes. QSIs for PWD was 7.03% compared to                               | to the inclusion rate of 8.81%. |  |  |  |  |
| b. Yes. QSIs for PWTD was 2.16% compared to the inclusion rate of 2.46%. |                                 |  |  |  |  |

3. If the agency has other types of employee recognition programs, are PWD and/or PWTD recognized disproportionately less than employees without disabilities? (The appropriate benchmark is the inclusion rate.) If "yes", describe the employee recognition program and relevant data in the text box.

| 0  |                                   |       |      |              |
|----|-----------------------------------|-------|------|--------------|
| a. | Other Types of Recognition (PWD)  | Yes 0 | No 0 | <u>N/A 0</u> |
| b. | Other Types of Recognition (PWTD) | Yes 0 | No 0 | <u>N/A 0</u> |

#### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

# **D.Promotions**

- 1. Does your agency have a trigger involving <u>PWD</u> among the qualified *internal* applicants and/or selectees for promotions to the senior grade levels? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for qualified internal applicants and the qualified applicant pool for selectees.) For non-GS pay plans, please use the approximate senior grade levels. If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box.
  - a. SES

| i.              | Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD)      | Yes        | 0         | No 0    |
|-----------------|--|------------|-----------|---------|
| ii.             | Internal Selections (PWD)                | Yes        | 0         | No 0    |
| b. Grade        | GS-15                                    |            |           |         |
| i.              | Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD)      | Yes        | 0         | No 0    |
| ii.             | Internal Selections (PWD)                | Yes        | 0         | No 0    |
| c. Grade        | GS-14                                    |            |           |         |
| i.              | Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD)      | Yes        | 0         | No 0    |
| ii.             | Internal Selections (PWD)                | Yes        | 0         | No 0    |
| d. Grade        | GS-13                                    |            |           |         |
| i.              | Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD)      | Yes        | 0         | No 0    |
| ii.             | Internal Selections (PWD)                | Yes        | 0         | No 0    |
| icient data pre | vented a thorough analysis. Planned acti | vities for | FY 2019 v | will be |

Insufficient data prevented a thorough analysis. Planned activities for FY 2019 will be initiated.

#### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

- 2. Does your agency have a trigger involving <u>PWTD</u> among the qualified *internal* applicants and/or selectees for promotions to the senior grade levels? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for qualified internal applicants and the qualified applicant pool for selectees.) For non-GS pay plans, please use the approximate senior grade levels. If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box.
  - a. SES

| i.       | Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD) | Yes 0 | No 0 |
|----------|--------------------------------------|-------|------|
| ii.      | Internal Selections (PWTD)           | Yes 0 | No 0 |
| b. Grade | GS-15                                |       |      |
| i.       | Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD) | Yes 0 | No 0 |
| ii.      | Internal Selections (PWTD)           | Yes 0 | No 0 |
| c. Grade | GS-14                                |       |      |
| i.       | Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD) | Yes 0 | No 0 |
| ii.      | Internal Selections (PWTD)           | Yes 0 | No 0 |
| d. Grade | GS-13                                |       |      |
| i.       | Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD) | Yes 0 | No 0 |
| ii.      | Internal Selections (PWTD)           | Yes 0 | No 0 |
|          |                                      |       |      |

Insufficient data prevented a thorough analysis. Planned activities for FY 2019 will be initiated.

**3.** Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving <u>PWD</u> among the new hires to the senior grade levels? For non-GS pay plans, please use the approximate senior grade levels. If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box.

| a. | New Hires to SES (PWD)   | Yes 0 | No 0 |
|----|--------------------------|-------|------|
| b. | New Hires to GS-15 (PWD) | Yes 0 | No 0 |
| C. | New Hires to GS-14 (PWD) | Yes 0 | No 0 |
| d. | New Hires to GS-13 (PWD) | Yes 0 | No 0 |
|    |                          |       |      |

Insufficient data prevented a thorough analysis. Planned activities for FY 2019 will be initiated.

#### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

4. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving <u>PWTD</u> among the new hires to the senior grade levels? For non-GS pay plans, please use the approximate senior grade levels. If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box.

| <br>                         |       |      |
|------------------------------|-------|------|
| d. New Hires to GS-13 (PWTD) | Yes 0 | No 0 |
| c. New Hires to GS-14 (PWTD) | Yes 0 | No 0 |
| b. New Hires to GS-15 (PWTD) | Yes 0 | No 0 |
| a. New Hires to SES (PWTD)   | Yes 0 | No 0 |

Insufficient data prevented a thorough analysis. Planned activities for FY 2019 will be initiated.

- 5. Does your agency have a trigger involving <u>PWD</u> among the qualified *internal* applicants and/or selectees for promotions to supervisory positions? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for qualified internal applicants and the qualified applicant pool for selectees.) If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box.
  - a. Executives

| i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD)               | Yes    | 0          | No  | 0 |
|--|--------|------------|-----|---|
| ii. Internal Selections (PWD)                        | Yes    | 0          | No  | 0 |
| b. Managers  |        |            |     |   |
| i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD)               | Yes    | 0          | No  | 0 |
| ii. Internal Selections (PWD)                        | Yes    | 0          | No  | 0 |
| c. Supervisors                                       |        |            |     |   |
| i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD)               | Yes    | 0          | No  | 0 |
| ii. Internal Selections (PWD)                        | Yes    | 0          | No  | 0 |
| icient data prevented a thorough analysis. Planned a | ctivit | ies for EV | 201 | 0 |

Insufficient data prevented a thorough analysis. Planned activities for FY 2019 will be initiated.

#### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

- 6. Does your agency have a trigger involving <u>PWTD</u> among the qualified *internal* applicants and/or selectees for promotions to supervisory positions? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for qualified internal applicants and the qualified applicant pool for selectees.) If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box.
  - a. Executives

| i. (  | Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD) | Yes | 0 | No | 0 |
|---|--------------------------------------|-----|---|----|---|
| ii. ]   | Internal Selections (PWTD)           | Yes | 0 | No | 0 |
| b. Manage   | ers                                  |     |   |    |   |
| i. (  | Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD) | Yes | 0 | No | 0 |
| ii. 1   | Internal Selections (PWTD)           | Yes | 0 | No | 0 |
| c. Supervi  | sors                                 |     |   |    |   |
| i. (  | Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD) | Yes | 0 | No | 0 |
| ii. 1   | Internal Selections (PWTD)           | Yes | 0 | No | 0 |
| Insufficient data prevented a thorough analysis. Planned activities for FY 2019 will be |                                      |     |   |    |   |
| initiated.  |                                      |     |   |    |   |

7. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving <u>PWD</u> among the selectees for new hires to supervisory positions? If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box.

| a. | New Hires for Executives (PWD)  | Yes 0 | No 0 |
|----|---------------------------------|-------|------|
| b. | New Hires for Managers (PWD)    | Yes 0 | No 0 |
| C. | New Hires for Supervisors (PWD) | Yes 0 | No 0 |

Insufficient data prevented a thorough analysis. Planned activities for FY 2019 will be initiated.

8. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving <u>PWTD</u> among the selectees for new hires to supervisory positions? If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box.

| a. | New Hires for Executives (PWTD)  | Yes 0 | No 0 |
|----|----------------------------------|-------|------|
| b. | New Hires for Managers (PWTD)    | Yes 0 | No 0 |
| c. | New Hires for Supervisors (PWTD) | Yes 0 | No 0 |

#### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

Insufficient data prevented a thorough analysis. Planned activities for FY 2019 will be initiated.

#### Section V: Plan to Improve Retention of Persons with Disabilities

To be a model employer for persons with disabilities, agencies must have policies and programs in place to retain employees with disabilities. In this section, agencies should: (1) analyze workforce separation data to identify barriers retaining employees with disabilities; (2) describe efforts to ensure accessibility of technology and facilities; and (3) provide information on the reasonable accommodation program and workplace personal assistance services.

#### A. Voluntary and Involuntary Separations

1. In this reporting period, did the agency convert all eligible Schedule A employees with a disability into the competitive service after two years of satisfactory service (5 C.F.R. § 213.3102(u)(6)(i))? If "no", please explain why the agency did not convert all eligible Schedule A employees.

Yes 0No 0N/A 0Yes; the agency has converted or will convert all eligible employees with two years of satisfactory service.

2. Using the inclusion rate as the benchmark, did the percentage of <u>PWD</u> among voluntary and involuntary separations exceed that of persons without disabilities? If "yes", describe the trigger below.

| a. | Voluntary Separations (PWD)          | Yes 0 | No 0 |
|----|--------------------------------------|-------|------|
| b. | <b>Involuntary Separations (PWD)</b> | Yes 0 | No 0 |

a. Yes. PWDs Voluntary Separations were 13.22%; 4.41% above the benchmark.

b. Yes. PWDs Involuntary Separations were 11.76%; 2.95% above the benchmark.

3. Using the inclusion rate as the benchmark, did the percentage of <u>PWTD</u> among voluntary and involuntary separations exceed that of persons without targeted disabilities? If "yes", describe the trigger below.

| a. | Voluntary Separations (PWTD)   | Yes 0 | No 0 |
|----|--------------------------------|-------|------|
| b. | Involuntary Separations (PWTD) | Yes 0 | No 0 |

a. Yes. PWTD Voluntary Separations were 3.65%; 1.19% above the benchmark.

b. Yes. PWTD Involuntary Separations were 3.92%; 1.46% above the benchmark.

# 4. If a trigger exists involving the separation rate of PWD and/or PWTD, please explain why they left the agency using *exit interview results and other data sources*.

Exit interview results were not available. This will be addressed in FY 2019.

#### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

# **B.ACCESSIBILITY OF TECHNOLOGY AND** FACILITIES (OCIO)

Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(d)(4), federal agencies are required to inform applicants and employees of their rights under Section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (29 U.S.C. § 794(b), concerning the accessibility of agency technology, and the Architectural Barriers Act of 1968 (42 U.S.C. § 4151-4157), concerning the accessibility of agency facilities. In addition, agencies are required to inform individuals where to file complaints if other agencies are responsible for a violation.

1. Please provide the internet address on the agency's public website for its notice explaining employees' and applicants' rights under Section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act, including a description of how to file a complaint.

http://www.noaa.gov/accessibility

2. Please provide the internet address on the agency's public website for its notice explaining employees' and applicants' rights under the Architectural Barriers Act, including a description of how to file a complaint.

http://www.noaa.gov/accessibility

**3.** Describe any programs, policies, or practices that the agency has undertaken, or plans on undertaking over the next fiscal year, designed to improve accessibility of agency facilities and/or technology.

The NOAA Web Committee frequently provides advice and training for individuals across NOAA in the proper development and presentation of accessible electronic information. The Committee has a Section 508 working group that meets monthly with open membership to address specific regulatory requirements and implementation concerns and maintains an internal website which provides training materials, tools and other resource for developers and managers.

# C.REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION PROGRAM

Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(d)(3), agencies must adopt, post on their public website, and make available to all job applicants and employees, reasonable accommodation procedures.

**1.** Please provide the average time frame for processing initial requests for reasonable accommodations during the reporting period. (Please do not include previously approved requests with repetitive accommodations, such as interpreting services.)

FY18 - 122 Reasonable Accommodation (RA) cases were received.

Average number of days to process - 12.7 days (1550 days/122 requests = 12.7 days)

61 of the cases were completed within the 20 business day time frame

7 of the cases were completed within 21-30 business day time frame

3 of the cases were completed within 30 or more days

#### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

3 of the total RA cases received, use the Computer/Electronic Accommodation Program (CAP). NOAA does not process these requests. Therefore, time frames are not tracked. 48 of the total RA cases received, were pending, either waiting on medical documentation, General Counsel's review, and/or waiting on manager's decision. The time frame on these cases are included in the quarter/fiscal year the case is complete.

2. Describe the effectiveness of the policies, procedures, or practices to implement the agency's reasonable accommodation program. Some examples of an effective program include timely processing requests, timely providing approved accommodations, conducting training for managers and supervisors, and monitoring accommodation requests for trends.

NOAA follows the DAO-215-10, which outlines the policies and procedures for providing RA to disabled employees. As indicated by the time-frame FY18 cases were resolved, as stated in the DAO, NOAA is approving timely RA decisions. Periodic monitoring, from both the manager and the employee is recommended to ensure the accommodation continues to be effective.

RA training webinars are available (previous recorded) in the Commerce Learning Center (CLC) for managers and employees.

In FY18, NOAA did not conduct live RA training for either employees or managers.

# D.PERSONAL ASSISTANCE SERVICES ALLOWING EMPLOYEES TO PARTICIPATE IN THE WORKPLACE

Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(d)(5), federal agencies, as an aspect of affirmative action, are required to provide personal assistance services (PAS) to employees who need them because of a targeted disability, unless doing so would impose an undue hardship on the agency.

Describe the effectiveness of the policies, procedures, or practices to implement the PAS requirement. Some examples of an effective program include timely processing requests for PAS, timely providing approved services, conducting training for managers and supervisors, and monitoring PAS requests for trends.

The PAS policy was developed by the Department of Commerce in FY 2018. There are no current requests for PAS.

#### FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

# **Section VI: EEO Complaint and Findings Data**

# A. EEO Complaint data involving Harassment

- 1. During the last fiscal year, did a higher percentage of PWD file a formal EEO complaint alleging harassment, as compared to the government-wide average? Yes 0 No 0 N/A 0
- 2. During the last fiscal year, did any complaints alleging harassment based on disability status result in a finding of discrimination or a settlement agreement? Yes 0 No 0 N/A 0
- 3. If the agency had one or more findings of discrimination alleging harassment based on disability status during the last fiscal year, please describe the corrective measures taken by the agency.

N/A

# **B. EEO Complaint Data involving Reasonable** Accommodation

1. During the last fiscal year, did a higher percentage of PWD file a formal EEO complaint alleging failure to provide a reasonable accommodation, as compared to the government-wide average?

Yes 0 **No 0** N/A 0

- 2. During the last fiscal year, did any complaints alleging failure to provide reasonable accommodation result in a finding of discrimination or a settlement agreement? Yes 0 No 0 N/A 0
- 3. If the agency had one or more findings of discrimination involving the failure to provide a reasonable accommodation during the last fiscal year, please describe the corrective measures taken by the agency.

N/A

# FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

# Section VII: Identification and Removal of Barriers

Element D of MD-715 requires agencies to conduct a barrier analysis when a trigger suggests that a policy, procedure, or practice may be impeding the employment opportunities of a protected EEO group.

1. Has the agency identified any barriers (policies, procedures, and/or practices) that affect employment opportunities for PWD and/or PWTD?

Yes 0 No 0

2. Has the agency established a plan to correct the barrier(s) involving PWD and/or PWTD?

<u>Yes 0</u> No 0 N/A 0

**3.** Identify each trigger and plan to remove the barrier(s), including the identified barrier(s), objective(s), responsible official(s), planned activities, and, where applicable, accomplishments.

| Trigger 1   | There were no PWD/PWTD participants in the Leadership Competencies Development Program (LCDP). |                                     |   |                                      |                                    |  |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| Barrier(s)  | NOAA does not have a formal plan to ensure advancement opportunities for PWD/PWTD.             |                                     |   |                                      |                                    |  |
| Objective(s)  | Increase the awareness of career development programs with focused outreach to PWD/PWTD.       |                                     |   |                                      |                                    |  |
| Responsible Official(s)   |  |                                     | Performance Standards Addre<br>Plan?<br>(Yes or No)   |                                      |                                    |  |
| OICR, WFMO  |  |                                     |   | Yes                                  |                                    |  |
| Barrie  | r Analysis Process Comp<br>(Yes or No)   | oleted?                             | Ba  | rrier(s) Ident<br>(Yes or No         |                                    |  |
|   | Yes  |                                     |   | Yes                                  |                                    |  |
| Sources of Data   |  | Sources<br>Reviewed?<br>(Yes or No) | Identify Information Collected  |                                      | Collected                          |  |
| Workforce Data  | Tables   | No                                  |   |                                      |                                    |  |
| Complaint Data  | (Trends)   | No                                  |   |                                      |                                    |  |
| Grievance Data (  | Trends)  | No                                  |   |                                      |                                    |  |
| Findings from Decisions (e.g., EEO,<br>Grievance, MSPB, Anti-Harassment<br>Processes) |  | No                                  |   |                                      |                                    |  |
| Climate Assessm   | ent Survey (e.g., FEVS)  | No                                  |   |                                      |                                    |  |
| Exit Interview D  | ata  | No                                  |   |                                      |                                    |  |
| Focus Groups  |  | No                                  |   |                                      |                                    |  |
| Interviews  |  | No                                  |   |                                      |                                    |  |
| Reports (e.g., Co<br>GAO, OPM)  | ngress, EEOC, MSPB,  | No                                  |   |                                      |                                    |  |
| Other (Career Development Program<br>Data)  |  | Yes                                 | A review of total applicants for the<br>Leadership Competencies Development<br>Program (LCDP) revealed the<br>representation of PWD/PWTD was (1)<br>applicant 0.88%. There were no<br>participants. |                                      |                                    |  |
| Target Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy)   | 8  |                                     | Sufficient<br>Staffing &<br>Funding<br>(Yes or No)  | Modified<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yy<br>yy) | Completion<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) |  |
| 3/2018  | Initiate Employee Resou<br>meetings  | arce Groups                         | Yes   | 4/2019                               |                                    |  |

| 6/2018      | Develop & present briefings on<br>LCDP/IDPs & other career<br>development programs.  | Yes  | 7/2019 |        |  |  |  |
|-------------|--|--|--------|--------|--|--|--|
| 9/2018      | Track applicants/participants by<br>RSNO/D   | Yes  |        | 7/2018 |  |  |  |
| Fiscal Year | Ac   | Accomplishments  |        |        |  |  |  |
| 2018        | Developed process to obtain applicant  | Developed process to obtain applicant flow data for LCDP applicants/ participants. |        |        |  |  |  |
| 2018        | WFMO added the following statement to their website: "The LCDP program is open<br>to employees with disabilities. The Federal Executive Institute training portion of the<br>program will and can be modified to accommodate persons with disabilities." |  |        |        |  |  |  |

| Trigger 2  | Cash Awards for PWD at the \$501+ level was 9.09% as compared to the  |                                     |   |                                  |                                    |  |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| Barrier(s)   | inclusion rate of 11.20%.<br>Possible lack of employee/manager awareness of agency Incentive Award<br>Programs. |                                     |   |                                  |                                    |  |
| Objective(s)                                       | Increase employee and manager awareness and use of award programs to incentivize high performance.              |                                     |   |                                  |                                    |  |
|  | Responsible Official(s) WFMO  |                                     | Performanc  | e Standards Add<br>(Yes or No)   | dress the Plan?                    |  |
| WFMO   |   |                                     | Yes   |                                  |                                    |  |
| Barri  | er Analysis Process Compl   | eted?                               | B   | arrier(s) Identif                | ied?                               |  |
|  | (Yes or No)<br>Yes  |                                     |   | (Yes or No)<br>NO                |                                    |  |
| Sou  | irces of Data   | Sources<br>Reviewed?<br>(Yes or No) | Identi  | fy Information (                 | ion Collected                      |  |
| Workforce Data T                                   | Workforce Data Tables   |                                     | Table B13   |                                  |                                    |  |
| Complaint Data (Trends)                            |   | Yes                                 | FY13-18 - Low # of complaints filed based on awards                     |                                  |                                    |  |
| Grievance Data (T                                  | rends)  | No                                  |   |                                  |                                    |  |
| Findings from Dec<br>Grievance, MSPB<br>Processes) |   | No                                  |   |                                  |                                    |  |
| ,  | nt Survey (e.g., FEVS)  | Yes                                 | 2018 FEVS-High negative percentage in areas of performance recognition. |                                  |                                    |  |
| Exit Interview Dat                                 | ta  | N/A                                 |   |                                  |                                    |  |
| Focus Groups                                       |   | No                                  |   |                                  |                                    |  |
| Interviews   |   | No                                  |   |                                  |                                    |  |
| Reports (e.g., Con<br>GAO, OPM)                    | gress, EEOC, MSPB,  | No                                  |   |                                  |                                    |  |
| Other (Please Des                                  | cribe)  | Yes                                 |   | wards Program                    |                                    |  |
| Target Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy)                        | Planned Acti  | Planned Activities                  |   | Modified<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Completion<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) |  |
| 4/2018   | Coordinate with Disability Program<br>Manager to create briefings on<br>Incentive Award Programs                |                                     | (Yes or No)<br>Yes  | 4/2019                           |                                    |  |
| 8/2018   | Hold Lunch & Learn on Incentive<br>Award Program  |                                     | Yes   | 8/2019                           |                                    |  |
| Fiscal Year  |   | Accor                               | nplishments   | 1                                |                                    |  |

| Trigger 3                       | Time-off Awards (9+ hours) for PWTD was 2.11%, compared to the inclusion rate of 2.57%. Cash Awards at the \$100-\$500 level for PWTD was 2.30%. Cash Awards for PWTD at the \$501+ level was 2.25%. |                                     |   |                                  |                                    |  |
|---------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| Barrier(s)                      | Possible lack of employee/manager awareness of agency Incentive Award<br>Programs  |                                     |   |                                  |                                    |  |
| <b>Objective</b> (s)            | Increase employee and manager awareness and use of award programs to incentivize high performance.   |                                     |   |                                  | rams to                            |  |
|                                 | Responsible Official(s)  |                                     | Performanc  | e Standards Add<br>(Yes or No)   | lress the Plan?                    |  |
|                                 | WFMO/OICR  |                                     |   | Yes                              |                                    |  |
| Barr                            | er Analysis Process Comp<br>(Yes or No)  | leted?                              | В   | arrier(s) Identif<br>(Yes or No) | ied?                               |  |
|                                 | Yes  |                                     |   | No                               |                                    |  |
| Sources of Data Revie           |  | Sources<br>Reviewed?<br>(Yes or No) | Identi  | fy Information (                 | Collected                          |  |
| Workforce Data T                | ables  | Yes                                 | Table B13   |                                  |                                    |  |
| Complaint Data (Trends)         |  | Yes                                 | FY13-18 - Low # of complaints filed based on awards                     |                                  |                                    |  |
| Grievance Data (7               | rends)   | No                                  |   |                                  |                                    |  |
|                                 | Findings from Decisions (e.g., EEO,<br>Grievance, MSPB, Anti-Harassment  |                                     |   |                                  |                                    |  |
| ,                               | ent Survey (e.g., FEVS)  | Yes                                 | 2018 FEVS-High negative percentage in areas of performance recognition. |                                  |                                    |  |
| Exit Interview Da               | ta   | N/A                                 |   |                                  |                                    |  |
| Focus Groups                    |  | No                                  |   |                                  |                                    |  |
| Interviews                      |  | No                                  |   |                                  |                                    |  |
| Reports (e.g., Con<br>GAO, OPM) | gress, EEOC, MSPB,   | No                                  |   |                                  |                                    |  |
| Other                           |  | Yes                                 |   | wards Program                    | n Handbook                         |  |
| Target Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy)     | Planned Act  | tivities                            | Sufficient<br>Staffing &<br>Funding<br>(Yes or No)                      | Modified<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Completion<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) |  |
| 4/2018                          | Coordinate with Disability Program<br>Manager to create briefings on<br>Incentive Award Programs   |                                     | Yes   | 4/2019                           |                                    |  |
| 8/2018                          | Hold Lunch & Learn<br>Award Program  | on Incentive                        | Yes   | 8/2019                           |                                    |  |

| Fiscal Year | Accomplishments   |
|-------------|---|
|             | The OICR Director is present during Performance Management Awards |
| 2018        | Committee meetings.   |
|             |   |

| Trigger 4                       | Several Mission Critical Occupations with low selection rate vs. qualified<br>among new hires for PWD/PWTD.           PWD: MCO 2210 is 30.00% of OCLF 42.54%.           PWTD: MCO 1340 is 1.56% of OCLF 1.94%; MCO 2210 is 0.00% of OCLF           2.55%; MCO 0428 is 0.00% of OCLF 0.99%; MCO 1301 is 0.00% of OCLF           1.70%; MCO 0343 is 0.00% of OCLF 2.09% |                                     |  |                                  |                                    |  |
|---------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| Barrier(s)                      | Possible low use of Sc  | chedule A hiring                    | authority for                                      | MCO positio                      | ns.                                |  |
| Objective(s)                    | Increase hiring manag<br>for MCO positions. Co  |                                     |  |                                  | g authorities                      |  |
|                                 | Responsible Official(s)   |                                     | Performance  | e Standards Ad<br>(Yes or No)    | dress the Plan?                    |  |
|                                 | WFMO/OICR   |                                     |  | Yes                              |                                    |  |
| Barr                            | ier Analysis Process Compl<br>(Yes or No)   | eted?                               | B  | arrier(s) Identi<br>(Yes or No)  | fied?                              |  |
|                                 | Yes   |                                     |  | Yes                              |                                    |  |
| Sources of Data                 |   | Sources<br>Reviewed?<br>(Yes or No) | Identif  | Identify Information Collected   |                                    |  |
| Workforce Data T                | ables   | Yes                                 | Table B7   |                                  |                                    |  |
| Complaint Data (7               | Frends)   | No                                  |  |                                  |                                    |  |
| Grievance Data (7               | rends)  | No                                  |  |                                  |                                    |  |
|                                 | cisions (e.g., EEO,<br>, Anti-Harassment  | No                                  |  |                                  |                                    |  |
| Climate Assessme                | ent Survey (e.g., FEVS)   | No                                  |  |                                  |                                    |  |
| Exit Interview Da               | ta  | N/A                                 |  |                                  |                                    |  |
| Focus Groups                    |   | No                                  |  |                                  |                                    |  |
| Interviews                      |   | No                                  |  |                                  |                                    |  |
| Reports (e.g., Con<br>GAO, OPM) | gress, EEOC, MSPB,  | No                                  |  |                                  |                                    |  |
| Other                           |   | No                                  |  |                                  |                                    |  |
| Target Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy)     | Planned Activities  |                                     | Sufficient<br>Staffing &<br>Funding<br>(Yes or No) | Modified<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Completion<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) |  |
| 4/2018                          | Coordinate with Disat<br>Manager to present ma<br>briefings on barriers a<br>hiring authorities for<br>PWD/PWTD/Veteran   | anager<br>nd various                | Yes  |                                  | 4/2018                             |  |

| 6/2018      | Hold Lunch & Learn on hiring authorities for hiring managers.  | Yes   |        | 6/2018 |  |  |  |
|-------------|--|---|--------|--------|--|--|--|
| 6/2018      | Conduct workforce briefings<br>w/senior managers on barriers to<br>seek solutions.   | Yes   |        | 6/2018 |  |  |  |
| 7/2018      | Conduct in-depth analysis to   | Yes   | 7/2019 |        |  |  |  |
|             | determine barrier.   |   |        |        |  |  |  |
| Fiscal Year | Accon  | nplishments   |        |        |  |  |  |
| 2018        | Held "Mandatory Veterans Employme  | Held "Mandatory Veterans Employment & Disability Road Map" Training |        |        |  |  |  |
| 2018        | Held a Diversity Hiring Event designed to fill positions using special hiring authorities that target PWD/PWTD, students and veterans. |   |        |        |  |  |  |
| 2018        | Launched NOAA-wide mentoring program.  |   |        |        |  |  |  |
| 2018        | In support of the D&I Action Plan, invited employees to participate in Hiring Panels.  |   |        |        |  |  |  |

| Trigger 5                       | Insufficient data on internal PWD/PWTD applicants/selectees by MCO, senior grade levels, and supervisory positions for new hires and promotions. |  |  |                                  |                                    |  |  |
|---------------------------------|--|--|--|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|--|
| Barrier(s)                      | •  | Limited data analysis prevents the identification of triggers and removal of possible barriers to employment and advancement for PWD/PWTD. |  |                                  |                                    |  |  |
| <b>Objective</b> (s)            | Collect and use all re<br>PWD/PWTD.  | Collect and use all required data to conduct a proper barrier analysis for WD/PWTD.  |  |                                  |                                    |  |  |
|                                 | Responsible Official(s)<br>WFMO/OICR   |  | Performanc   | e Standards Add<br>(Yes or No)   | lress the Plan?                    |  |  |
|                                 |  |  |  | Yes                              |                                    |  |  |
| Barri                           | ier Analysis Process Comp  | oleted?  | В  | arrier(s) Identif                | ied?                               |  |  |
|                                 | (Yes or No)<br>NO  |  |  | (Yes or No)<br>NO                |                                    |  |  |
| Sou                             | irces of Data  | Sources<br>Reviewed?<br>(Yes or No)  | INO Identify Information Collected                 |                                  | Collected                          |  |  |
| Workforce Data T                | ables  | No   |  |                                  |                                    |  |  |
| Complaint Data (Trends)         |  | No   |  |                                  |                                    |  |  |
| Grievance Data (7               | Trends)  | No   |  |                                  |                                    |  |  |
|                                 | cisions (e.g., EEO,<br>, Anti-Harassment   | No   |  |                                  |                                    |  |  |
| Climate Assessme                | ent Survey (e.g., FEVS)  | No   |  |                                  |                                    |  |  |
| Exit Interview Da               | ta   | N/A  |  |                                  |                                    |  |  |
| Focus Groups                    |  | No   |  |                                  |                                    |  |  |
| Interviews                      |  | No   |  |                                  |                                    |  |  |
| Reports (e.g., Con<br>GAO, OPM) | gress, EEOC, MSPB,   | No   |  |                                  |                                    |  |  |
| Other                           |  | No   |  |                                  |                                    |  |  |
| Target Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy)     | Planned Ac   | tivities   | Sufficient<br>Staffing &<br>Funding<br>(Yes or No) | Modified<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Completion<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) |  |  |
| 5/2018                          | Conduct meeting with WFMO and<br>OICR to discuss data needs, identify<br>sources, and develop delivery<br>schedule.                              |  | Yes  | 3/2019                           |                                    |  |  |
| 7/2018                          | Conduct barrier anal<br>identified triggers  | ysis on  | Yes  | 7/2019                           |                                    |  |  |
| Fiscal Year                     |  | Accom  | plishments   | · I                              |                                    |  |  |

| <b>T</b> : (  | PWDs voluntarily sep              | parated at 13.229  | %; 4.41% abov                                      | ve the benchma                   | ark.                               |  |  |
|---|-----------------------------------|--|--|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|--|
| Trigger 6   | PWDs involuntarily s              | PWDs involuntarily separated at 11.76%; 2.95% above the benchmark. |  |                                  |                                    |  |  |
| Barrier(s)  | The lack of exit interv           | • •  | revents the ide                                    | ntification & r                  | emoval of                          |  |  |
| Darrier(5)  | barriers to the retention of PWDs |  |  |                                  |                                    |  |  |
| <b>Objective</b> (s)  | Collect and use all rec           |  | onduct a proper                                    | r barrier analys                 | sis for the                        |  |  |
|   | separation of PWD/P               | WTD.   | Performanc   | e Standards Ado                  | tress the Plan?                    |  |  |
|   | Responsible Official(s)           |  | Terrormane   | (Yes or No)                      | iress the ran.                     |  |  |
|   | WFMO/OICR                         |  |  | Yes                              |                                    |  |  |
| Barri   | ier Analysis Process Compl        | leted?   | B  | arrier(s) Identif<br>(Yes or No) | ied?                               |  |  |
|   | (Yes or No)<br>NO                 |  |  | No                               |                                    |  |  |
| Sou   | irces of Data                     | Sources<br>Reviewed?<br>(Yes or No)                                | Identi   | fy Information (                 | Collected                          |  |  |
| Workforce Data Tables   |                                   | Yes  | Table B14-Sep                                      | arations                         |                                    |  |  |
| Complaint Data (1   | (rends)                           | No   |  |                                  |                                    |  |  |
| Grievance Data (7   | rends)                            | No   |  |                                  |                                    |  |  |
| Findings from Decisions (e.g., EEO,<br>Grievance, MSPB, Anti-Harassment<br>Processes) |                                   | No   |  |                                  |                                    |  |  |
| Climate Assessme  | ent Survey (e.g., FEVS)           | No   |  |                                  |                                    |  |  |
| Exit Interview Da   | ta                                | N/A  |  |                                  |                                    |  |  |
| Focus Groups  |                                   | No   |  |                                  |                                    |  |  |
| Interviews  |                                   | No   |  |                                  |                                    |  |  |
| Reports (e.g., Con<br>GAO, OPM)   | gress, EEOC, MSPB,                | No   |  |                                  |                                    |  |  |
| Other   |                                   | No   |  |                                  |                                    |  |  |
| Target Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy)   | Planned Acti                      | ivities  | Sufficient<br>Staffing &<br>Funding<br>(Yes or No) | Modified<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Completion<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) |  |  |
| 6//2019   | Continue barrier analysis process |  |  |                                  |                                    |  |  |
|   |                                   | ising various data sources.  |  |                                  |                                    |  |  |
| 9/2019  | Develop exit interview            |  | Yes  |                                  |                                    |  |  |
| Fiscal Year   |                                   | Accor  | nplishments  |                                  |                                    |  |  |

| Trigger 7   | PWTDs voluntarily separated at 3.65%; 1.19% above the benchmark.   |                                     |  |                                  |                                    |  |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
|   | PWTDs involuntarily separated at 3.92%; 1.46% above the benchmark. |                                     |  |                                  |                                    |  |
| Barrier(s)  | The lack of exit interv  | • 1                                 | revents the ide                                    | ntification & r                  | emoval of                          |  |
| ~   | barriers to the retention  |                                     |  |                                  |                                    |  |
| Objective(s)  | Collect and use all red  | onduct a proper                     | r barrier analys                                   | sis for the                      |                                    |  |
|   | separation of PWTDs  |                                     | Dorformono   | e Standards Add                  | Proce the Plan?                    |  |
|   | <b>Responsible Official(s)</b>                                     |                                     | renormanc  | (Yes or No)                      | iress the rian:                    |  |
|   | WFMO/OICR  |                                     |  | Yes                              |                                    |  |
| Barr  | ier Analysis Process Comp  | leted?                              | B  | arrier(s) Identif                | ied?                               |  |
|   | (Yes or No)  |                                     |  | (Yes or No)                      |                                    |  |
|   | No   | G                                   |  | No                               |                                    |  |
| Sources of Data   |  | Sources<br>Reviewed?<br>(Yes or No) | Identi   | fy Information (                 | Collected                          |  |
| Workforce Data Tables   |  | Yes                                 | Table B14-Sep                                      | ble B14-Separations              |                                    |  |
| Complaint Data (  | Frends)  | No                                  |  |                                  |                                    |  |
| Grievance Data (7   | rends)   | No                                  |  |                                  |                                    |  |
| Findings from Decisions (e.g., EEO,<br>Grievance, MSPB, Anti-Harassment<br>Processes) |  | No                                  |  |                                  |                                    |  |
| Climate Assessme  | ent Survey (e.g., FEVS)  | No                                  |  |                                  |                                    |  |
| Exit Interview Da   | ta   | N/A                                 |  |                                  |                                    |  |
| Focus Groups  |  | No                                  |  |                                  |                                    |  |
| Interviews  |  | No                                  |  |                                  |                                    |  |
| Reports (e.g., Con<br>GAO, OPM)   | gress, EEOC, MSPB,   | No                                  |  |                                  |                                    |  |
| Other   |  | No                                  |  |                                  |                                    |  |
| Target Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy)   | Planned Act  | ivities                             | Sufficient<br>Staffing &<br>Funding<br>(Yes or No) | Modified<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) | Completion<br>Date<br>(mm/dd/yyyy) |  |
| 6//2019   | Continue barrier anal  |                                     |  |                                  |                                    |  |
|   |  | ing various data sources.           |  |                                  |                                    |  |
| 9/2019  | Develop exit interview   | · · ·                               | Yes  |                                  |                                    |  |
| Fiscal Year   |  | Accor                               | nplishments  |                                  |                                    |  |